

2025 Flight Ops Changes: The Big Ones

Kateřina Michalská

18 December, 2025



Another year, another wave of changes across international flight operations. Here are some of the key ones from 2025...

January

- **Cuba overflights still aren't free:** Some Cuban overwater routes don't need a permit, but NAV fees still apply. Miss them and you may get blocked later. [Read](#)
- **Palm Beach TFRs are back:** With Trump back in office, TFRs around KPBI/Palm Beach will be frequent again. Expect TSA screening and gateway airport rules. [Read](#)
- **New NAT GPS guidance published:** A new NAT Ops Bulletin explains what to do after GPS jamming or spoofing. Tell ATC early in your RCL. [Read](#)
- **NAT fire diversion planning needs care:** Not all alternates have strong fire cover or night ops. Some even need advance payment. [Read](#)
- **Mexico paperwork rules tighten again:** Some airports now demand original AIU documents from your first Mexico entry. Copies may not be accepted. [Read](#)
- **Antigua nav fees move online:** Low-level flights below FL245 now use a new site to pay nav fees. Mainly affects TKPK/St Kitts ops. [Read](#)
- **UK ETA expands further:** From Jan 8, most non-European visa-exempt passengers need a UK ETA. Crew are exempt. [Read](#)
- **EASA warns against western Russia:** After a shootdown near URMG/Grozny, EASA flagged high misidentification risk from air defence systems. [Read](#)
- **EU anti-tankering rules arrive:** New ReFuelEU rules restrict tankering for large commercial operators and add heavy reporting duties. [Read](#)

- **Iceland joins Eurocontrol:** All flights into Icelandic airspace now need Eurocontrol IFPS validation. Watch for rejects. [Read](#)
- **Israel updates entry rules:** ETA is now mandatory for visa-exempt passengers, plus a new approved airport list for the LLLL/Tel Aviv FIR. [Read](#)

February

- **EASA flags bad SAF risk:** EASA warned about out-of-spec sustainable fuel entering the market. Double-check suppliers and be cautious with new ones. [Read](#)
- **UK warns naughty charter operators:** Flying charter to the UK without a Foreign Carrier Permit can lead to bans and big penalties. Calling it “private” won’t help. [Read](#)
- **Myanmar airspace warning updated:** New guidance for the VYYYF/Yangon FIR advises staying at or above FL260, with limited alternates due to ongoing conflict. [Read](#)
- **Qatar moves permits online:** From Feb 20, all Qatar landing and overflight permits must go through the new CAA portal. Email is out. [Read](#)
- **Saudi crew visas get easier:** Some BizAv crew are now being issued six-month multiple-entry visas instead of the old 72-hour limit. [Read](#)
- **New FAA LOA guide available:** A fresh, practical guide explains how to get FAA LOAs approved. Free for OPSGROUP members. [Read](#)

March

- **Blue Spruce Routes officially disappear:** A new ICAO NAT Doc 007 took effect on Mar 20, removing the Blue Spruce Routes. You can still cross the North Atlantic, but what you can file now depends on your aircraft equipage. [Read](#)
- **False TCAS alerts raise eyebrows:** False alerts near KDCA/Washington sparked fresh debate about TCAS and cyber resilience. [Read](#)
- **Mexico shuts 1,500 smaller airports:** Over 1,500 private use airports are now restricted to owners only. Major AIP airports are unaffected. [Read](#)
- **ADC numbers are a must in South Asia:** Flying through ADIZ airspace in India, Pakistan, Bangladesh, Myanmar, or Nepal? No ADC number can mean delays or denied entry. [Read](#)
- **Europe approves ACAS Xa:** Europe now allows ACAS Xa alongside ACAS II for larger aircraft. Another step toward next-gen collision avoidance. [Read](#)
- **EASA brings in ground handling safety rules:** EASA has rolled out its first ground handling safety rules. Handlers have three years to comply, with new training and safety systems coming in. Aircraft safety still stays with the operator. [Read](#)
- **France’s higher passenger tax goes live:** The new tax started Mar 1. Private flights are exempt, but commercial operators need to plan for it, including overseas territories. [Read](#)
- **France tightens CPDLC access:** Most French airspace now requires Logon List registration to get CPDLC. [Read](#)
- **China opens an English AIP portal:** China quietly launched a useful English AIP portal with

routes and Notams. [Read](#)

- **Singapore eyes stricter rules for foreign AOCs:** Singapore plans to expand permit rules to include ferry flights and add higher penalties. Foreign AOC holders should get ahead of it. [Read](#)

April

- **Mali and Algeria impose airspace bans:** Reciprocal bans between Mali and Algeria are forcing longer routings across parts of Africa. [Read](#)
- **FAA tackles mixed traffic collision risk:** The FAA is tightening rules where helicopters and airplanes share busy airspace, including Washington, Las Vegas, LA, and the Gulf Coast. More changes are coming. [Read](#)
- **US special event fees are here to stay:** Temporary FBO surcharges around major US events are no longer rare surprises. With fees popping up more often and further ahead of time, they have become a real planning cost for many US destinations. [Read](#)
- **US visa and ESTA rules reinforced:** Only Visa Waiver Program signatory operators can carry ESTA passengers into the US. If not, visas are required. [Read](#)
- **Brazil brings back visas for some visitors:** US, Canadian, and Australian citizens now need a visa to enter Brazil. Crew exemptions exist. [Read](#)
- **Mexico customs rules get trickier:** New checks on pills, vapes, and electronics are catching crews out at Mexican customs. [Read](#)
- **UK ETA expands to Europe:** From Apr 2, most European visitors will need a UK ETA. Crew are exempt, but passengers must have approval before flying. [Read](#)
- **Hong Kong adds mandatory BizAv APIS:** From Apr 29, all BizAv flights to VHHH/Hong Kong must file APIS for crew and pax, including transit passengers. Operators must file themselves. [Read](#)
- **Thailand goes digital for arrivals:** From May 1, non-Thai arrivals must submit the Thailand Digital Arrival Card online. Crew likely included. [Read](#)

May

- **US tightens dog import rules:** New US requirements now apply for importing dogs, including vet certificates and air waybills. Missing paperwork can stop entry. [Read](#)
- **Visual approaches get a safety warning:** A new FAA alert reminds crews that visual approaches can reduce safety margins in busy airspace. Saying “unable” earlier is sometimes the safer call. [Read](#)
- **India and Pakistan extend airspace bans:** Both countries prolonged their reciprocal bans. Border areas remain sensitive, with advice to avoid parts of the region below FL260. [Read](#)
- **Saudi Arabia allows domestic charter flights:** Saudi Arabia lifted cabotage restrictions, opening the door to approved domestic charter legs. [Read](#)
- **Fuel limits affect flights to Israel:** Turkish airports are no longer fuelling aircraft heading to Israel, adding routing and fuel planning constraints. [Read](#)

- **Europe updates lost comms procedures:** New SERA rules for lost comms, emergency descents, and transponder codes apply across Europe from May 1. [Read](#)
- **Le Bourget enforces APU limits:** Strict APU time limits at LFPB/Le Bourget are now actively enforced, with fines possible. [Read](#)

June

- **FAA requires a US address for foreign licence holders:** Foreign FAA certificate holders must now list a US physical address. [Read](#)
- **Lithium battery fire risk keeps climbing:** Incidents involving vapes, power banks and laptops continue to rise. New FAA and EASA alerts confirm this is now a standing cabin safety issue for BizAv. [Read](#)
- **Congo DRC airspace risk remains localized but serious:** Canada narrowed its warning for the FZZA/Kinshasa FIR to eastern regions, advising flight at or above FL260 due to anti-aircraft fire risk. [Read](#)
- **South Africa mandates ADS-B:** From Jun 12, ADS-B is required in RVSM and Class A airspace. No exemptions published. [Read](#)
- **Sydney BizAv fees rise:** Sydney rolled out higher BizAv charges across parking, runway and ground services. Some free parking time remains, but costs climb fast. [Read](#)

July

- **Blue Spruce Routes are gone, but crossings are still possible:** The Blue Spruce Routes were removed in March, but operators without full equipage still have ways to cross the North Atlantic. What you can file depends on what is on board. [Read](#)
- **FAA updates its oceanic guides:** The FAA refreshed its guidance for the North Atlantic, Pacific, and WAT airspace, reflecting how oceanic ops are now being flown. [Read](#)
- **US eAPIS now supports border overflight exemptions:** Operators can now apply for and renew US border overflight exemptions via eAPIS, with faster and more predictable processing. [Read](#)
- **More bizjets qualify for US domestic CPDLC:** The FAA expanded its CPDLC list, bringing more business jet types into domestic datacom and PDC availability. [Read](#)
- **FAA cleans up charts and foreign procedure approvals:** The FAA is removing clutter from approach charts and stepping back from approving foreign instrument procedures. Operators need to rely more on local state data. [Read](#)
- **Afghanistan overflight rules extended:** The FAA extended Afghanistan overflight rules to Jul 2028, allowing US operators to overfly at high levels while highlighting ongoing risk. [Read](#)
- **China tightens power bank rules:** China banned uncertified power banks on domestic flights, with possible knock on effects for departures. [Read](#)
- **Ceasefire eases tensions on the Cambodia-Thailand border:** A ceasefire began on Jul 28 after days of fighting. [Read](#)
- **West Africa routing options keep shrinking:** Closures, bans, and conflict zones are making routings into West Africa longer and more complex. [Read](#)

- **South Africa permits remain a moving target:** Any change to a South Africa permit now requires full revalidation, often taking days. Confusion over rules continues to delay flights. [Read](#)
- **Cape Verde permits required despite AIP wording:** Cape Verde requires permits for all overflights and landings, even though the AIP suggests otherwise. [Read](#)
- **Germany sneaky bizjet checks continue:** Unannounced security checks on bizjets are still happening in Germany. [Read](#)
- **Heat and APU limits raise risk at Nice:** Reports from LFMN/Nice link summer heat, strict APU limits, and weak GPUs to aircraft damage and electrical issues. [Read](#)
- **Milan ramps up fees for business jets:** Private flights at LIML/Linate and LIMC/Malpensa are seeing steep increases in landing and parking fees, in some cases by hundreds of percent. [Read](#)

August

- **Cuba remains off limits for most private jets:** Most private flights still cannot operate to Cuba. Any US registered aircraft needs a license that is rarely granted, and commercial ops face strict OFAC exposure tied to US people and payments. [Read](#)
- **US CBP updates add cost and admin friction:** CBP rolled out new rules for Border Overflight Exemptions and small fee increases from Oct 1. Nothing dramatic, but it all adds up. [Read](#)
- **EU commercial ops still need a TCO:** Commercial flights into the EU require a Third Country Operator approval. It is free and straightforward, but needs to be sorted early. [Read](#)
- **LFPM offers a calmer way into Paris:** LFPM/Villaroche offers 24/7 ops with fewer restrictions than Le Bourget. It is Schengen only, but can be a smooth option for the right trip. [Read](#)
- **Phnom Penh switches to a new airport:** VDTI/Techo opens on Sep 9, replacing VDPP/Phnom Penh for civil traffic. A permanent change for Cambodia operations. [Read](#)
- **Thailand adds another compliance trap for charters:** Commercial charter operators flying regularly or staying over a month in Thailand now need a Foreign Aircraft Operator Security Programme. Miss it and permits can stall. [Read](#)
- **South Korea launches overnight CPDLC:** From Sep 3, optional CPDLC is available above FL160 during overnight hours for non urgent messages. Correct equipage and flight plan coding are required. [Read](#)
- **Cybersecurity is now a real flight ops risk:** BizAv is no longer flying under the radar. From hacked EFBs to leaked data, Part 91 and 135 operators are real targets and should treat cyber risk as an ops issue. [Read](#)
- **BizAv safety lessons go practical:** The 2025 Nimbl safety report turns real BizAv reports into practical lessons on handling, approaches, fatigue, and GPS interference. [Read](#)

September

- **Russia sanctions remain a hard operational barrier:** Overflights remain off limits for most

operators, with rules differing between the EU, UK, and US. Expect ongoing paperwork and no quick easing. [Read](#)

- **Eastern Europe spillover risk continues to grow:** Russian drones and aircraft have violated airspace over Poland, Romania, and Estonia, triggering NATO intercepts. [Read](#)
- **US aircraft trash rules keep catching operators out:** Some US CBP ports still treat arrivals from Canada as regulated trash flights, even though Canada is exempt. Local interpretation varies, so check port requirements in advance to avoid fines. [Read](#)
- **Mexico introduces double APIS submission:** From Sep 17, flights to Mexico must submit APIS twice, before departure and again after doors close. Easy to miss and still catching crews out. [Read](#)
- **UK GAR rules clarified:** No GAR is needed for domestic UK flights, but trips to the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man still need both a UK GAR and a local one. [Read](#)
- **Qatar simplifies northern FIR overflights:** The northern Doha FIR now requires a flight notification instead of a permit, while the southern FIR still needs a permit. A lasting change for routine routings. [Read](#)
- **Balloon and kite hazards return at Sao Paulo:** Crews reported balloon and kite strings on landing gear at SBGR/Sao Paulo, with objects seen as high as FL150. A real hazard despite no Notams or ATIS warnings. [Read](#)

October

- **Europe's datalink rules become a hard filing requirement:** From Nov 4 2025, IFPS will reject flight plans above FL285 if CPDLC is not filed correctly. [Read](#)
- **Europe's EU-LISA Entry Exit System starts going live:** The EU Entry Exit System is rolling out now, with full expansion through Apr 2026. New checks and registrations are coming for commercial flights, with ETIAS next. [Read](#)
- **EASA keeps Middle East airspace risk guidance locked away:** EASA has extended its Israel and Iran airspace Information Notes to end Jan 2026, with no change in content. The guidance remains non-public, covering the LLLL/Tel Aviv FIR and nearby airspace, and the entire OIIX/Tehran FIR. For non EU operators, official risk guidance stays hard to access, while N-reg aircraft remain banned from Iranian airspace. [Read](#)
- **EASA warns crews about QNH errors:** After a near miss linked to incorrect QNH, EASA reminded crews how easy it is to end up low on final. Simple altimeter cross checks still matter. [Read](#)
- **Haiti airspace warning extended into 2026:** The FAA extended its Haiti warning through Mar 2026. Restrictions remain below 10,000 ft near MTPP/Port au Prince due to ongoing security risks. This remains a planning constraint. [Read](#)
- **North Atlantic crews face extra checks after GPS interference:** GPS spoofing and jamming continue to affect NAT flights. If you have GNSS issues before entry, Shanwick wants to know early. Include it in your RCL to avoid delays or reroutes. [Read](#)
- **US tightens rules on where crew visas are issued:** Crew must now apply for US visas in their home country or country of residence, not third countries. This may affect renewal timelines. [Read](#)

- **Toronto tightens BizAv slot tolerance:** From Oct 21, CYYZ/Toronto requires slots via the Global OCS Portal and cuts tolerance to plus or minus 30 minutes. Register early if you are not set up. [Read](#)
- **Saudi Arabia introduces optional CPDLC:** From Oct 2, optional CPDLC is available above FL290 in the OEJD/Jeddah FIR for FANS 1/A aircraft. Voice remains required for urgent traffic. [Read](#)
- **Uzbekistan updates ICAO codes and transition levels:** Uzbekistan has switched from UT to UZ ICAO codes and raised the transition altitude to 13,000 ft or FL150. The change simplifies cross border ops and better aligns with neighbours. [Read](#)
- **Pilot age limits clarified:** A new guide confirms the basics. Commercial international flying stops at 65, private flying does not. Country specific rules still matter and can catch crews out. [Read](#)

November

- **Sudan risk remains:** After an aircraft was reportedly shot down, Sudan's airspace remains closed and nearby routings rely on narrow contingencies. Extra caution is needed when flying near Northeast Africa. [Read](#)
- **Somalia permit confusion continues in the north:** Conflicting instructions between Somalia and Somaliland continue near HCSM/Mogadishu FIR. [Read](#)
- **GPS interference near Delhi triggers new reporting steps:** After spoofing events near VIDP/Delhi, authorities introduced a new pilot reporting procedure. Crews should expect continued GNSS issues and follow the updated process. [Read](#)
- **North Atlantic procedures keep evolving:** Iceland and Gander plan to drop RCL messages, GNSS interference reports are increasing, and the old HLA approval concept may be phased out. NAT planning continues to move away from legacy processes. [Read](#)
- **FAA restores BizAv access after US shutdown:** On Nov 17, the FAA lifted flight reduction limits and cancelled Notams that had blocked bizjets at 12 major US airports. BizAv access is open again. [Read](#)
- **Paper Jepp charts head for retirement:** Jeppesen confirmed that paper charts will be retired by Oct next year. Operators still using paper will need to complete the shift to digital and make sure compliance and crew readiness are covered. [Read](#)
- **UK GAR moves to One Login:** The UK GAR site now uses One Login and old accounts no longer work. Operators need to set up new access and recheck their data before filing. [Read](#)
- **New runway opens at OKKK/Kuwait:** Runway 16/34 is now open, with SID changes and a new Ground West frequency. Crews report runway confusion at night, so confirm assignments carefully. [Read](#)
- **Tahiti BizAv access is more restricted than it looks:** Peak hour limits and alternate constraints mean Tahiti requires careful timing and backup planning. It is not a simple H24 stop. [Read](#)

December (and beyond!)

- **Airport incursions become a real European disruption risk:** Drones and balloons have

already forced airport closures and diversions across Europe [Read](#)

- **Venezuela best avoided:** The FAA advisory still stands and the SVZM/Maiquetia FIR remains unstable and best avoided. [Read](#)
- **UK ETA checks move toward full enforcement:** From Feb 25 2026, UK GAR submissions will actively check passenger permission to travel. Missing ETA or visas can trigger a “No Record” response. [Read](#)
- **UK confirms higher Air Passenger Duty for BizAv:** From Apr 2027, higher APD will apply to business jets from 5.7 tonnes. On long haul flights, the cost per passenger will be significant. This is a future cost to plan for now. [Read](#)
- **Biometric border controls become mandatory for all non-US citizens:** From Dec 26, facial biometric scanning is required for all non US citizens entering or leaving the US, including private aircraft. Most exemptions are being removed and refusal may mean denied boarding or entry. This is now standard US ops compliance. [Read](#)
- **US Special Event Fees stretch into 2026:** The updated Special Event Fees Tracker shows FBO surcharges already published well into 2025 and 2026. These fees are now a regular planning cost, not a surprise. [Read](#)
- **Greenland keeps changing the NAT alternate picture:** BGSF/Sondrestrom remains fully controlled, BGGH/Nuuk is upgraded but still restricted for BizAv, and BGBW/Narsarsuaq is heading toward closure. Greenland alternates remain a moving target. [Read](#)
- **NAT trials half degree coordinates on daily tracks:** In mid January, some OTS tracks will use half degree coordinates. Planning stays the same, but it is another step toward more flexible NAT routing. Make sure your systems handle half degree points correctly. [Read](#)
- **Seletar reinforces VFR arrival discipline:** After a runway misalignment incident, new guidance reinforces strict VFR arrivals at WSSL/Seletar. Visual GPS aids and strong situational awareness are now essential. [Read](#)
- **Uzbekistan opens a new BizAv tech stop:** UZTP/Vostochny is now operational near UZTT/Tashkent with a long runway and full services. It is expected to take over most BizAv traffic and becomes a solid new Europe Asia tech stop. [Read](#)
- **South Pacific crossings demand serious prep:** Flights between Australia and South America mean long legs, limited alternates and thin island support. Crews report this is a route where preparation really matters. [Read](#)

As the year wraps up, a **huge thank you to everyone in OPSGROUP for being part of it**, for sharing insights, experiences, and real-world stories, and for helping keep the whole community informed and safer throughout the year.

We'll be taking a short break from the Daily Brief and Weekly Bulletin emails over the holidays. Our last day in the office will be Monday 22nd Dec, and we'll be back on Friday 2nd Jan. Until then, happy holidays to all, enjoy the break, and see you in 2026! ♥↗✈️

More face scans at the US border for BizAv flights

David Mumford
18 December, 2025



Mandatory facial recognition for all non-US citizens entering or exiting the US remains on track for 26 Dec 2025. BizAv is included, but CBP has not yet defined how it will be implemented, and the actual operational roll out may take some time.

What's changing

From 26 Dec 2025, CBP can require facial biometrics for all foreign passengers and crew at both arrival and departure, at all US airports, including those on BizAv flights. You can see the official rule [here](#).

Previous exemptions are removed, including for most Canadian nationals and diplomats. Facial scanning will no longer be limited to selected categories or locations.

What's actually new

CBP has collected entry biometrics for years, and biometric exit already exists for airline flights.

What's new is the scope and clarity. This rule establishes a nationwide biometric entry and exit requirement for all non-US nationals, regardless of how they travel. **For BizAv, the main change is on departure, where biometric exit has not previously been routine.** This closes long standing exit data gaps in private aviation.

Airport reality and BizAv impact

Biometrics are already used at many large commercial airports, often via CBP mobile devices, and in some cases officers already capture facial scans onboard the aircraft. There is still no new or updated airport or FBO level guidance describing how this will be handled for BizAv, and no standard process has been published.

CBP has said it does not yet have details on how implementation will work in BizAv, so expect some inconsistent application by airport in the early phase!

For now, assume facial scanning applies, allow extra time on the ground, and check locally with the FBO or CBP at the airport level as to exactly what to expect on arrival and departure.

Separate proposal: ESTA changes under review

CBP has published a separate proposal to significantly change how the ESTA works for non US travellers. **These changes are not final and are open for public comment until 9 Feb 2026.** You can check the official proposal [here](#), and send an email to CBP_PRA@cbp.dhs.gov if you want to submit any comments.

If adopted, ESTA would become far more app based and data heavy. That may be billed as modernisation, but for BizAv it likely means more steps, not fewer.

Proposals include a mobile app only ESTA, mandatory live selfies, and a **big expansion in the personal info travellers must provide.** That includes several years of social media history, along with phone numbers, emails, and family and business contacts. Yes, they really want the socials!

There is also a proposal to let travellers confirm their departure via a CBP app using a selfie and location data. That would help CBP close long standing exit gaps, but it sounds like it wouldn't remove any existing operator admin.

Bottom line, these are proposals, not requirements. If adopted, ESTA would shift more work onto individual travellers and add new "failure points" to pre-trip planning. Expect more chasing pax for app downloads, selfies, and old social accounts. Yay! ☐




Greenland NAT Alternates: Dec 2025 Update

Chris Shieff

18 December, 2025



It has been a busy year of change for Greenland's airports! Here is the current operational picture for the main NAT alternates as of December 2025.



BGSF/Sondrestrom

Plans to downgrade Sondrestrom from ATC to AFIS have been cancelled. In one of the shortest AICs we've ever seen, Naviair (Denmark's ANSP) confirmed that BGSF would remain **fully controlled** until further notice.

Word from behind the scenes is that it was recently evaluated that ongoing demand for BGSF was solid enough to warrant **full ATC**.

However, radar service remains unavailable due to equipment issues, with procedural separation expected for the foreseeable future:

A1821/25 NOTAMR A1709/25

Q) BGGL/QCMAS/IV/M /A /000/999/6701N05041W005

A) BGSF B) 2510271014 C) 2601301700 EST

E) BGSF MSSR U/S. RADAR SERVICE UNAVAILABLE.

Other than that, BGSF continues to be a reliable NAT alternate thanks to its long 2800m runway and generally more stable weather conditions.

BGGH/Nuuk

Nuuk has been extensively upgraded with a 2200m runway and precision approaches at both ends. It has been receiving heavy jet traffic for some time and is now well-equipped to serve as a NAT alternate.

However, the BGGH Notams put a lump of coal in your stocking. They say that **no PPR or slots will be granted to GA (which GL airports have confirmed includes BizAv)** until at least the end of Jan 2026. If you want to list BGGH as an alternate, they say you need to apply for PPR. Sounds like NAT flights needing a Greenland stopover should probably use BGSF/Sondrestrom or BGBW/Narsarsuaq instead.

We asked why, and apparently it was a decision made by the Danish CAA due to concerns over traffic. It's not the first time Nuuk has struggled a little with its new-found size! Here's a list of complaints we've received from members about BGGH over the past few months:

- **Slot confusion:** Slots for BGGH must be requested via GCR to scr@airportcoordination.com, but the system is difficult to navigate – one member misread a rejection, flew anyway, and still found only light traffic despite the airport being considered “full.”
- **Last minute closures:** Watch out for Notams which are popping up some days closing the airport for 30-min periods to accommodate specific one-off airline flights.
- **August security screening chaos:** International flights were suspended on Aug 27 after Danish authorities halted security screening, citing non-compliant training of local staff. A United flight from Newark turned back mid-route and SAS cancelled services. Screening resumed the following day with certified staff flown in from Denmark.
- **Several other reliability issues:** with members reporting unexpected holding, slow fuelling, and ground handling delays despite recent expansion.

BGBW/Narsarsuaq

Narsarsuaq is still scheduled to close in Spring 2026 and will be downgraded to a heliport. Word on the street is May 1, but this may change.

Operationally, Narsarsuaq remains challenging due to non-precision approaches, frequent poor weather,

and a short 1800m runway.

New runways at BGQO/Qaqortoq and BGJN/Ilulissat

Both strips are finished, but work continues on lighting and approach systems.

The new **BGQO/Qaqortoq airport is on track to open in Spring 2026** with a 1500m runway. This will replace BGBW/Narsarsuaq as the southern airport option, though only for small to medium jets initially.

The new 2200m runway in **BGJN/Ilulissat is due to open in Fall 2026.**

A reminder about after-hours fees

Look out for surprise fees if you use **BGBW/Narsarsuaq** or **BGSF/Sondrestrom** as alternates after hours (overnight 20-11z or anytime on Sundays). You will be charged the better part of \$3000 USD to keep standby equipment on watch, and runways clear of snow.

Some insider advice - advance notice reduces the cost. If you need one of these cheaper outside of normal operating hours, provide at least 24 hours' notice.

A special thanks to our agent in the field

Spare a thought for the **unsung hero** of this article. The average low in Greenland at this time of year is 12 deg F (- 11 deg C). With wind chill, this can feel like -22 deg F (-30 deg C) or lower.

Apparently, high quality jackets are no joke – as evidenced below. **Thank you** for your help assembling this article!



Mexico Customs Surprises: Pills, Vapes, and Laptop Rules

Kateřina Michalská
18 December, 2025



Key Points

- **Crew warning:** AFAC officials at MMSD asked a crew member to carry unknown pills back to the U.S. - possible setup. Decline, document, and don't touch.
- **Vapes banned:** Mexico officially outlawed vapes in January 2025. A new federal law now criminalises almost any activity involving them, including production, storage or transport for commercial purposes. Penalties can exceed USD 14,000 or result in prison sentences of up to eight years. Since the law does not define what counts as commercial activity, authorities may treat multiple devices as intent to distribute.
- **Device limits:** Customs is enforcing a one-laptop/tablet rule per person. Extra devices may be taxed at 19% - no crew exemptions.

Flying to Mexico has always come with a few quirks, but there have been a few **notable developments recently** that crews should be aware of. Here's a quick look at some of the latest updates – from strange inspections to unexpected customs issues. Might be worth a heads-up to your team before your next trip south.

The “would you mind taking this bag?” situation

A recent report out of MMSD/San José del Cabo raised eyebrows. After clearing customs and immigration without issue, a crew member was asked to step into the AFAC office. There, officials presented them with a ziplock bag full of prescription pill bottles and asked if they could take it back to the U.S. The crew member wisely declined.

Was it a test? A setup? It's unclear – but it looked staged, and could easily have ended badly. If something like this happens to you, the advice is simple: stay calm, politely say no, ask for everything in writing, and don't touch anything you haven't personally verified. **Accepting unknown items could lead to serious legal trouble or even aircraft seizure.**

Vapes are banned. Like, officially.

Mexico has not only banned the import and use of vapes. **A new federal law now makes almost anything involving them a criminal offence.** It covers acquiring them, storing them, transporting them

and selling them, and it uses the term “for commercial purposes” without explaining what that actually means. Because the wording is so vague, authorities can interpret it as they see fit. **Penalties can reach fines of around USD 14,000 and prison sentences of up to eight years.**

This vagueness is the real issue. You may think that bringing a few vapes clearly counts as personal use, but an inspecting officer may reach a different conclusion. There is no defined threshold, so the final judgement is entirely in the hands of the person checking your bags.

The message for passengers and crew is simple: do not bring any vapes to Mexico. Even someone who believes they are carrying only harmless extras can suddenly find themselves facing a much more serious problem.

It is much easier to avoid the situation completely and leave them at home.

That laptop in your flight bag might cost you

Mexico has a long-standing rule that **only one laptop or tablet is allowed per person when entering the country.** We’ve heard from members that customs officers are starting to enforce this again. One crew reported being stopped at MMSP/La Paz because they had more than one device and were told they’d be charged 19% of the declared value.

And no – aircraft iPads, iPhones or EFBs don’t get special treatment. The rule applies per person, regardless of what the devices are used for. Declaring them as commercial equipment can actually make things worse. So best to split up the gear among the crew and passengers or avoid overpacking the electronics.

If you have been to Mexico recently and have a story to share – please do! Reports like these are super useful for everyone in the group. **File an Airport Spy report anonymously here.**



Got some intel?

Are you an Airport Spy?

You go to unusual places and see curious things. Your turboprop friends envy you. Now, it's time to give back.

For your next trip, pack a notebook, and file your Spy Report below. You'll get a weekly ops briefing in return.

[File your report](#) >

Crossing the Quiet South: From Australia to Argentina

Kateřina Michalská
18 December, 2025



Every so often, a question drops into our inbox that reminds us just how big and how quiet parts of the world still are.


Not long ago, someone asked about **flying from Australia to the southern tip of Argentina**. It's a trip across one of the most isolated parts of the planet: long stretches of ocean, few places to land, and very little room for error if something changes. There isn't much written about it, and only a small number of crews have done it.

We checked with the OPSGROUP community and heard back from several operators and trip support teams who have made the crossing. They shared where they routed, where they stopped, and what they learned along the way.

This short guide brings together what we know so far, and we'll keep adding to it as more of you share your experiences. **If you've flown anywhere in this region, we'd love to hear from you at team@ops.group.**

Few Places to Land

Once you leave Australia and head east across the South Pacific, things get quiet very quickly. It is a huge region with many small nations and islands, but **only a few airports have long enough runways and operate around the clock**. Many smaller fields have little or no parking, and fuel is not always guaranteed. Communication can also be slow, as email exchanges with local FBOs or authorities often take time, so it helps to plan well ahead.



Finding suitable alternates is another key challenge. Distances between usable airports are long, and ETOPS planning can be complex. Some crews recommend keeping about five degrees of spacing between waypoints to make navigation and decision-making easier. There are also a few US military airfields in the region, such as **PGUA/Guam** and **PKWA/Kwajalein**, but these are not open to civilian traffic.

SCIP/Easter Island is the only true mid-ocean option. To the west lies **NZAA/Auckland**, and to the east **SCCI/Punta Arenas** marks the entry into South America. Antarctica may look close on a map, but it is not a realistic option because there is no fuel or services, and diversions there are reserved for real emergencies.

Most operators who have crossed the Pacific follow a similar island-hopping route:

YSSY/Sydney → NTAA/Tahiti → SCIP/Easter Island → South America (SADF, SCCI, SUMU)

Trans-polar routing is not practical for most bizjets, so this Polynesian path remains the preferred choice.

Many of the islands that can handle larger bizjets are not open 24 hours and often require slots or PPR. Last-minute diversions are rarely possible, especially in Polynesia. Even the main stops such as **NTAA/Tahiti** and **SCIP/Easter Island** can face full airport or runway closures at times. On Easter Island, handling is provided by a single agent with limited services, and cash may be preferred. Other alternates, including **NCRG/Rarotonga**, have similarly tight hours, so it's best to check schedules and requirements well in advance.

Fuel shortages are uncommon (except for NCRG/Rarotonga, which has one now and then when the fuel tanker is late to arrive at the island), but arranging fuel releases in advance is always sensible. **Permits and visas** can also take extra time depending on the country, so it helps to build that into your schedule.

Comms and datalink are generally reliable, although one crew reported a four-hour satellite internet dropout west of Easter Island. Light turbulence can occur in the low 40s, especially during the Southern Hemisphere winter.

Once you reach the mainland, things become much easier. Handling in Chile and Argentina is efficient, fuel is reliable, and services are good. On the islands, operations are simpler but still manageable with good coordination.

How different aircraft made the trip

Several long-range bizjets have flown this route. Here are examples of routings that worked in practice.

Challenger 350

SADF/San Fernando → SCIP/Easter Island → NTAA/Tahiti

NTAA/Tahiti → SCIP/Easter Island → SADF/San Fernando

Possible with careful planning around alternates and timing.

Falcon 7X

SABE/Buenos Aires → SCIP/Easter Island → NZAA/Auckland

YSSY/Sydney → NTAA/Tahiti → SABE/Buenos Aires

SADF/San Fernando → SCIP/Easter Island → NFFN/Nadi

NFFN/Nadi → SCIP/Easter Island → SADF/San Fernando

A flexible option with enough range to connect Polynesia with South America comfortably.

Global Express

SAVT/Trelew → NFFN/Nadi

Has no trouble with the longer Pacific legs, and Fiji works well as a fuel stop.

Gulfstream G550/G650

YSSY/Sydney → NTAA/Tahiti → SADF/San Fernando, SCCI/Punta Arenas

A straightforward option via Tahiti that keeps legs comfortable.

Airports along the way

A quick look at the **key tech-stops, listed east to west**, from Australia/New Zealand toward South America.

☐☐ **YSSY/Sydney - Australia**

The airport runs H24, though there is a strict 2300-0600 LT curfew. Handlers can request exceptions, but these are not guaranteed. FBOs can usually arrange CIQ directly on site. Fuel is tanker only, so plan large uplifts in advance. Slots are required. Expect standard Australian disinsection rules and have the empty spray can ready on arrival.

Jet Aviation closed its doors permanently on Nov 30, so ExecuJet is now the only FBO at the field moving forward.

FBO contact: fbo.yssy@execujet.com

☐☐ **NZAA/Auckland - New Zealand**

Another solid H24 tech stop just across the Tasman. The airport stays open all day, with short runway maintenance early on Monday and Saturday from 0130-0430 LT, which sometimes does not appear in Notams. Private flights under Part 91 do not need permits, while charter flights under Part 135 require CAA approval. CIQ operates around the clock, and fuel is available with notice, although last-minute uplifts can be slow during busy hours. New Zealand enforces strict biosecurity, and cabin disinsection is mandatory, but quarantine staff can handle it on arrival if needed.

FBO contact: fbo.nzaa@execujet.com, anz_info.s.e.a@swissport.com

☐☐ **NFFN/Nadi - Fiji**

A smooth 24-hour tech stop and refuel point midway between Polynesia and South America. The airport and customs run H24, fuel and handling are reliable, and turnarounds are quick. Wildlife can be active at

dawn and dusk, but otherwise ops are straightforward.

FBO contact: info@fijiairports.com.fj, fbo@ats.com.fj

🇫🇯 **NTAA/Tahiti - French Polynesia**

The only international airport in French Polynesia and the main South Pacific stop. NTAA runs H24, though through early February non-based BizAv (private and charter flights) face limited operating windows matching airline peaks. Movements in those periods need airport manager approval, and use as a diversion is restricted to locally based or pre-scheduled aircraft.

For example, TASC FBO confirmed full 24/7 support on the north side, including CIQ pre-clearance on arrival. They handle disinsection if needed and provide fuel exclusively under the Petropol ExxonMobil brand. Occasionally, filing flight plans through the ARO can be difficult, so it's recommended to send the FPL by email to seac-pf-bria-bf@aviation-civile.gouv.fr and wait for confirmation.

Landing permits must be requested by operators via the French Polynesia CAA portal (72 hours for private flights, 14 days for charter). Nearby NTTB/Bora Bora and NTTR/Raiatea are domestic with limited hours and fuel, making NTAA the only reliable international option in the region.

For details on current NTAA restrictions and seasonal procedures, see our dedicated article [here](#).

FBO contact: nuutea@tascfbo.com, ops.ei@airtahiti.pf, ulric.allard@airtahiti.pf

🇹🇻 **NCRG/Rarotonga - Cook Islands**

A small but reliable entry point between French Polynesia and South America. ATC hours rotate and are published by Notam, with controllers available on request for diversions at +682 25890 or +682 71439. A landing permit is required about 14 days in advance via the CAA, and CIQ is available anytime by prior arrangement. Most nationalities receive a 30-day visa on arrival. Fuel is supplied by Pacific Energy and currently limited for non-scheduled flights. There are two international stands, and overnight parking requires a towbar.

FBO contact: ross.warwick@airraro.com, savage@airportauthority.gov.ck, nikautangaroa@airportauthority.gov.ck

🇨🇱 **SCIP/Easter Island - Chile**

A key mid-Pacific stop that works well for fuel and rest but needs careful planning. The airport operates roughly 0900-1700 LT on weekdays with shorter weekend hours. A landing permit is required, and once approved, it also serves as parking authorization. Fuel from WFS must be requested 24 hours in advance, and all arrivals must complete cabin disinsection and show the empty spray can as proof. Instrument approaches are often unavailable by Notam, so be ready for visual arrivals and plan alternates carefully. Parking is very limited, usually one stand overnight, and the single handler provides basic services, often accepting only cash.

FBO contact: punavai949@gmail.com, edmundserviceairl@gmail.com

🇨🇱 **SCCI/Punta Arenas - Chile**

A reliable southern mainland stop. The airport operates H24 with full CIQ coverage. Three runways provide flexibility, the main one being RWY 07/25 (2790 m / 9154 ft). Fuel is available, and parking can be arranged but must be requested in advance due to limited capacity. No slot requirement.

FBO contact: fbo@aviasur.com, ygonzalez@aviasur.com

✈️ **SCEL/Santiago - Chile**

Another entry point into South America with reliable services and straightforward procedures. The airport operates H24 with CIQ available around the clock. Parking for BizAv is generally available, fuel is offered H24, and there are no slot or PPR requirements.

FBO contact: fbo@aviasur.com, psaavedra@aviasur.com

✈️ **SABE/Buenos Aires - Argentina**

Busy city entry point operating H24 with full CIQ coverage. According to the FBO, ramp parking is limited to about two hours, so hangar space should be arranged in advance. Fuel is available.

FBO contact: comercial@royalclass.global, info@royalclass.com.ar

✈️ **SADF/San Fernando - Argentina**

The other BizAv option for Buenos Aires. H24 with no slots, customs available, easy parking, and fuel on site. The single runway 05/23 is shorter at 1690 m (5545 ft), but ops are smooth, making it a popular alternative to SABE.

FBO contact: fbo@flyzar.com

✈️ **SAVT/Trelew - Argentina**

A useful southern stop when routing toward Patagonia or Chile. The airport is open H24 with fuel available, and customs work on request with a 48-hour PPR, so it's best to plan ahead to make sure everything is ready on arrival.

FBO contact: ops@aerowise.aero

✈️ **SUMU/Montevideo - Uruguay**

A solid H24 option for tech stops or entry into Uruguay. The airport offers full customs, long runways, and reliable support, though most parking stands have specific wingspan and pushback limits, so it's best to confirm space in advance. Fuel is available. Note local noise restrictions prohibiting departures over Montevideo between 2100-0700 LT, except for emergencies or weather-related operations.

FBO contact: fbo@fbo.com.uy, ops@aerowise.aero

Flying between Australia and Argentina is very doable, just not the kind of trip you improvise! The distances are huge, the alternates are few, and every good piece of info makes a real difference.

If you've been through any of these airports recently, we'd love to hear your story. You can share it with the community by submitting an Airport Spy Report. It's basically a little postcard about what happened on the ground so the next crew knows what to expect. Your notes help everyone who sets out across the quiet South.



Got some intel?

Are you an Airport Spy?

You go to unusual places and see curious things. Your turboprop friends envy you. Now, it's time to give back.

For your next trip, pack a notebook, and file your Spy Report below. You'll get a weekly ops briefing in return.

[File your report](#) >

Major runway shutdowns ahead at KVNY/Van Nuys

David Mumford
18 December, 2025



KVNY/Van Nuys will shut 16R/34L for multiple 80-hour blocks plus recurring night closures through February 2026, so expect serious disruption to operations.

Here's what's currently planned:

Van Nuys Cross Taxiways Reconstruction Project 80-Hour Closures with Follow-up Nightly Closures

November 2025 – February 2026 *(Revised Schedule Due to Inclement Weather)*

From	To	Description
Nov. 9 th at 22:30 pm	Nov. 13 th at 06:00am	Full 80-Hour closure of 16R-34L from Sunday night through Thursday morning
Nov. 16th at 22:30 pm	Nov. 20th at 06:00 am	Full 80-Hour closure of 16R-34L from Sunday night through Thursday morning
Nov. 18 th at 22:30	Nov.19 th at 06:00 am	One Nightly Closure of 16R-34L from Tuesday Night through Wednesday morning
Nov. 30 th at 22:30 pm	Dec. 4 th at 06:00 am	Full 80-Hour closure of 16R-34L from Sunday night through Thursday morning
Dec. 7 th at 22:30 pm	Dec. 11 th at 06:00 am	Full 80-Hour closure of 16R-34L from Sunday night through Thursday morning

Dec. 11 th at 22:30 pm	Dec. 12 th at 06:00 am	One Nightly closure of 16R-34L from Thursday night through Friday morning
Dec. 14 th at 22:30 pm	Dec.19 th at 06:00 am	Five Nightly Closures (7.5 Hours each night) of 16R-34L: each night from 22:30 to 06:00.
Dec. 21 st at 22:30 pm	Dec. 22 nd at 06:00 am	One Nightly closure of 16R-34L from Sunday night through Monday morning
Dec. 22 nd at 22:30 pm	Dec. 23 rd at 06:00 am	One Nightly closure of 16R-34L from Monday night through Tuesday morning

Dec. 23 through January 4th: No closures over the holiday period

From	To	Description
Jan. 4 th at 22:30 pm	Jan.8 th at 06:00am	Full 80-Hour closure of 16R-34L from Sunday night through Thursday morning
Jan. 11 th at 22:30 pm	Jan. 15 th at 06:00 am	Full 80-Hour closure of 16R-34L from Sunday night through Thursday morning
Jan. 18 th at 22:30 pm	Jan. 22 nd at 06:00 am	Full 80-Hour closure of 16R-34L from Sunday night through Thursday morning
Jan. 25 th at 22:30 pm	Jan. 29 th at 06:00 am	Four Nightly Closures (7.5 Hours each night) of 16R-34L: each night from 22:30 to 06:00.
Feb. 1 st at 22:30 pm	Feb. 5 th at 06:00 am	Four Nightly Closures (7.5 Hours each night) of 16R-34L: each night from 22:30 to 06:00.

Those big 80-hour closure blocks are the ones to really watch out for. They start Sundays at 2230 and end Thursdays at 0600 local time, so the **runway is effectively unavailable all day Mon/Tue/Wed** during those periods. You can check the airport advisories for any changes to these planned times (due to weather), but the easiest place to view them is the calendar on the airport website here.


25163

AIRPORT DIAGRAM

AL-552 (FAA)

VAN NUYS (VNY)

VAN NUYS, CALIFORNIA



AIRPORT DIAGRAM

25163

VAN NUYS, CALIFORNIA

VAN NUYS (VNY)

Based on the Notams available right now, **the short runway 16L/34R has no closures planned.** But watch out here - it's only 4000ft long, is limited to a single wheel configuration with a max weight of about 14000 lbs, so it will not be an option for most BizAv aircraft during the closures.



In terms of where else to consider, **KBUR/Burbank** and **KLAX/Los Angeles** are likely to be the main two contenders. We checked with the local handlers at both – no closure or restrictions are planned, so these should hopefully stay fully usable during the KVNY closures. Contact deets for both:

KBUR/Burbank

Hollywood Burbank Jet Center: csr@hbjetcenter.com

Atlantic Aviation: burfrontdesk@atlanticaviation.com

KLAX/Los Angeles


Signature Aviation: LAXFBO@signatureaviation.com

Atlantic Aviation: laxfrontdesk@atlanticaviation.com

New FAA Airspace Warnings for Venezuela and Puerto Rico


David Mumford

18 December, 2025



Key Points

- The FAA has issued new airspace warnings for both the TJZS/San Juan and SVZM/Maiquetia FIRs, following a sharp rise in state aircraft activity across the southern Caribbean.
- SVZM is the main concern: the FAA cites a worsening security picture, GPS interference, and increased Venezuelan military mobilisation, including air-defence capability that raises the overflight risk.
- Airlines have already suspended flights to Venezuela and are routing around the SVZM FIR via Colombia and neighbouring FIRs.
- Avoid SVZM unless absolutely necessary. The risk level for overflights is higher than usual.
- TJZS remains open, but expect more state traffic, occasional non-standard ATC coordination, and busier airspace as military activity increases near Puerto Rico.



Puerto Rico: New FAA warning for the TJZS/San Juan FIR

On 18 November, the FAA issued KICZ A0010/25, advising **extreme caution at all levels in the TJZS FIR because of an increase in state aircraft operations**. They don't say who these aircraft are, but the language almost always means military traffic that may not be working standard civil ATC.

That matches what's happening: more US reconnaissance, tanker and transport flights, plus a larger naval presence in nearby waters. The US has also carried out strikes on suspected drug-smuggling boats. Venezuela has publicly objected to the buildup, raising tension across the wider region.

For crews, the key point is that **TJZS may now see unpredictable state movements and non-standard ATC interactions**.

Venezuela: A much stronger FAA warning

On 21 November, the FAA issued the more serious KICZ A0012/25 for the entire SVZM FIR. It cites a **worsening security situation and increased military activity**, and requires 72 hours' advance notice from US operators planning to enter the FIR.

```
A0012/25 NOTAMN
Q) KICZ/QRDL/IV/NBO/AE/000/999/
A) KICZ PART 1 OF 2
B) 2511211743
C) 2602192359
E) SECURITY...UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ADVISORY FOR POTENTIALLY HAZARDOUS SITUATION IN
THE MAIQUETIA FLIGHT INFORMATION REGION (SVZM)

OPERATORS ARE ADVISED TO EXERCISE CAUTION WHEN OPERATING IN THE MAIQUETIA FLIGHT
INFORMATION REGION (SVZM FIR) AT ALL ALTITUDES DUE TO THE WORSENING SECURITY SITUATION
AND HEIGHTENED MILITARY ACTIVITY IN OR AROUND VENEZUELA. THREATS COULD POSE A POTENTIAL
RISK TO AIRCRAFT AT ALL ALTITUDES, INCLUDING DURING OVERFLIGHT, THE ARRIVAL AND
DEPARTURE PHASES OF FLIGHT, AND/OR AIRPORTS AND AIRCRAFT ON THE GROUND.

REFER TO THE BACKGROUND INFORMATION NOTICE FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THREAT
CONCERNS FOR U.S. CIVIL AVIATION OPERATIONS IN THE AFFECTED AIRSPACE AT HTTPS://WWW.FAA.GOV/AIR\_TRAFFIC/PUBLICATIONS/US\_RESTRICTIONS.

PROVIDE AT LEAST 72-HOUR ADVANCE NOTICE OF PLANNED FLIGHTS TO THE FAA AT
FAAWATCH@FAA.GOV WITH SPECIFIC FLIGHT DETAILS.


REPORT ANY SAFETY/SECURITY INCIDENTS OBSERVED/EXPERIENCED WHILE OPERATING IN THE
AFFECTED AIRSPACE TO THE FAA'S WASHINGTON OPERATIONS CENTER AT +1 (202) 267-3333
F) SFC
G) UNL
```

The FAA's Background Note backs this up: crews have reported **GPS interference** in the SVZM FIR with effects that can extend 250 nm from the source, and Venezuela has stepped up its military posture with **mass mobilisation and air-defence systems that can reach civil levels**. There's also a low-altitude risk from MANPADS. Venezuela isn't threatening civil aviation, but the overall environment means the **risk level for overflights is higher than usual**.

Spain and Portugal have also issued their own near-identical warnings for Venezuelan airspace. Both tell crews to avoid the SVZM/Maiquetia FIR until early December. They cite increased military activity, air-defence systems active at all levels, and poor coordination between parties in the area. This matches the FAA's view and adds **two more state recommendations to stay clear of Venezuelan airspace**.

Airlines are already pulling back

In the past few days, several airlines have **suspended services to Venezuela** (the ones we know about so far: Iberia, Air Europa, Plus Ultra, TAP, LATAM, Avianca, GOL, Caribbean Airlines and Turkish Airlines).



- Venezuela condemning the buildup and calling the US presence a direct threat.


All of this is happening in airspace corridors used by civil traffic, which explains the new warnings.

What crews need to watch for

Three main things:

1. **GPS interference is active.** Crews have reported GNSS issues in SVZM with lingering effects after exit.
2. **Military traffic is up and less predictable.** State aircraft may not be on civil ATC, may appear without ADS-B, and may manoeuvre unexpectedly – particularly in TJZS and on the northern edge of SVZM.
3. **Avoid SVZM unless absolutely necessary.** Airlines are already doing this, and the FAA warning together with Venezuela's current military activity makes overflight risk higher than usual.

For more info on these airspace warnings, check safeairspace.net – our conflict zone and risk database. And if you have any info you'd like to share with us, please get in touch via news@ops.group.



Belgium airports impacted by another nationwide strike

David Mumford
18 December, 2025




Another **nationwide strike is taking place in Belgium on Wednesday, 26 November**, and it is going to cause significant disruption at the country's main airports **EBBR/Brussels** and **EBCI/Charleroi**.

The last major strike on 25 June did not involve ATC, but airport operations were still severely disrupted. Airlines had to cancel all departures and in some cases arrivals at both EBBR and EBCI. BizAv at Brussels saw only minor delays to services, while at Charleroi availability was still being evaluated on the day.

For the upcoming strike on 26 November, the situation is similar in terms of airport staffing. However, **ATC has now been confirmed as fully operational**, so BizAv services should remain largely unaffected despite the wider airport disruptions.

In addition to flight disruptions, **significant delays to public ground transport are expected** across Belgium, affecting trains and local services. Plan for potential delays getting to the airport.



Here's what we currently know about the impact of the strike at the main airports:

EBBR/Brussels

Notam issued requiring airlines to cancel all departures that require passenger security screening:

A4394/25 - AD LTD DUE TO A NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL ACTION - FORCE MAJEURE. STAFFING LEVELS FOR MANY OPERATIONAL PARTNERS AT EBBR WILL BE SEVERELY IMPACTED. NO FLIGHTS ARE TO BE OPERATED WITH LOCAL DEPARTING PAX. TO AVOID MAJOR DISRUPTIONS, ALL AIRLINES ARE URGED TO REDUCE THEIR FLIGHT PROGRAM ACCORDINGLY. NO IMPACT ON GENERAL AVIATION

The airport has announced that **no departing flights requiring passenger screening will be possible**, as security staff will be fully involved in the strike. Only cargo flights, ferry flights without crew requiring screening, and transfer-only departures where passengers remain airside are expected to operate. Arrivals may still be possible, but airlines are advised to avoid overnight transits or pax needing re-screening.

For BizAv, the outlook is better. Local handlers report no expected disruption, as ATC will be operating normally throughout the strike.

Contact: hostess.belgium@execujet.com.

EBCI/Charleroi

The airport has confirmed a **full cancellation of both arrivals and departures on November 26 for airlines** due to strike-related staffing shortages:

A4385/25 - AD LTD DUE TO A NATIONAL INDUSTRIAL ACTION - FORCE MAJEURE, STAFFING LEVEL OF MANY OPR PARTNERS WILL BE SEVERELY IMPACTED. 100 PERCENT

REDUCTION FOR ARRIVING AND DEPARTING FLIGHTS IS REQUIRED. AIRLINES ARE REQUIRED TO CANCEL THEIR ARRIVING AND DEPARTING FLIGHTS IN THIS TIMEFRAME

All commercial flights will be cancelled for the day, but **BizAv should still be able to operate as ATC will be fully staffed**. Local handlers expect international BizAv movements to continue through the executive terminal.


Contact: general.aviation@charleroi-airport.com

Tahiti BizAv Restrictions: Peak-Time Bans and Alternate Rules

Kateřina Michalská
18 December, 2025



If you are planning a long hop across the South Pacific, **NTAA/Tahiti is the obvious dot in the middle of the map**. It is the only international airport in French Polynesia, the main South Pacific stop.



Right now though, it is **not quite the simple H24 option it appears to be** on paper. Airport and ATC capacity are stretched, airlines get priority, and BizAv has to fit around some fairly tight restrictions.

This is a quick guide to what is going on, and how to make NTAA/Tahiti work for you without nasty surprises.

The Not-So-Simple BizAv Reality at NTAA

For non-based BizAv, Tahiti comes with a set of very specific **“please avoid these hours”** rules.

The airport may be H24 on paper, but **several daily blackout windows line up with the airline rushes**, and during those periods visiting charter and private flights are effectively kept out unless the airport manager agrees otherwise. These limits are not linked to Tahiti’s tourist high season and seem to come from local capacity pressure during the busiest airline banks.

No one has given a clear explanation so far and handlers say the squeeze on BizAv was already noticeable back in June 2025.

The measures run until early Feb 2026, and **during the busiest morning and evening peaks the airport blocks out time where visiting BizAv cannot operate**. Those windows look like this:

- **Monday:** 0400-1000 LT (1400-2000 UTC)
- **Tuesday:** 1900-0000 LT (Wednesday 0500-1000 UTC)
- **Wednesday:** 0400-1000 LT (Wednesday 1400-2000 UTC)
- **Thursday:** 0400-0900 LT (1400-1900 UTC)
- **Friday:** NIL
- **Saturday:** 0400-1000 LT (1400-2000 UTC) and 2000-0000 LT (Sunday 0600-1000 UTC)
- **Sunday:** 0500-0900 LT (Sunday 1500-1900 UTC)

Outside these blocks, BizAv can operate normally with the usual permits and handling. Around the peak periods it helps to keep your schedule flexible because even a small shift in timing can decide whether you are welcomed in or asked to wait until the rush clears. Local FBOs spot these conflicts quickly, so sending them your draft timings early usually prevents last-minute surprises.

There is also a second twist. **Tahiti is not always available as a diversion.** A separate set of rules limits who can list NTAA as an alternate during many of the same busy hours. If you are not based in French Polynesia or already operating at NTAA that day, you cannot file it as an alternate without prior approval. Medevac flights are the only automatic exception.

The no-alternate windows fall into a mix of early-morning and evening peaks and are currently published as valid until early January 2026:

- **Monday:** 0400-0800 LT (1400-1800 UTC) and 2100-0000 LT (Tuesday 0700-1000 UTC)
- **Tuesday :** 1800-0000 LT (Wednesday 0400-1000 UTC)
- **Wednesday:** 0500-0800 LT (1500-1800 UTC)
- **Thursday:** NIL
- **Friday:** NIL
- **Saturday:** 0500-0800 LT (1500-1800 UTC) and 2100-0000 LT (Sunday 0700-1000 UTC)

For operators crossing the South Pacific this matters more than it might seem. With very few alternates in range, an airport that is only available at certain hours behaves more like one with defined office times. **If NTAA is part of your contingency planning, you need to check the Notams** for the precise hours you will be nearby, both in local time and UTC.

Alongside the BizAv restrictions, **a few practical quirks also shape how smoothly things run.** Apron space is limited and the turn-around areas are restricted to aircraft up to Code D, which means parking flexibility drops sharply when the airlines are active. ATC staffing limits can lead to evening departure delays.

When the ARO is not operating normally, you can **submit your flight plan by email** and wait for acknowledgement. The published address is SEAC-PF-BRIA-BF@aviation-civile.gouv.fr. Submissions by phone remain possible at +689 40 86 11 51, but the Notams state clearly that these are not guaranteed, so relying on a quick call is risky.

What Local Handlers Say

Local handlers in Tahiti gave us a very helpful look at how things work in practice, and their insight fills in a lot of the gaps that the Notams leave out.

NTAA is H24 and fully equipped for any bizjet, but its daily rhythm follows the airline peaks. Outside the restricted hours you still get full international services, including fuel, CIQ and parking support.

Nearby **NTTB/Bora Bora** and **NTTR/Raiatea** are domestic only, with shorter hours, no CIQ and limited fuel at NTTB. They are not suitable as international alternates and cannot replace NTAA if you need a reliable option in the middle of the Pacific.

CIQ pre-clearance: TASC FBO told us they can arrange full CIQ pre-clearance before arrival. The process is simple. You send inbound and outbound GENDECs together with passport scans for all crew and passengers, and everyone fills out an immigration card in advance. Immigration then pre-clears the names and meets the aircraft on arrival for a quick visual check and passport stamp. When the paperwork is sent

early enough, the entire process can be as fast as loading the bags into the car. For tight turnarounds this is a major time-saver.

Biosecurity rules: French Polynesia is strict on biosecurity, similar to Australia or New Zealand. Cabin disinsection with an approved spray is mandatory at top of descent. Plant and animal products and any live animals need prior approval. Weapons and ammunition require separate permission. Cash over 10,000 EUR equivalent must be declared. In short, paperwork matters here.

Fuel: NTAA has unlimited Jet A1 for normal bizjet demand. Fuel is supplied through different arrangements depending on the handler. For example, TASC FBO provides fueling exclusively under the Petropol (ExxonMobil) brand, which means the fuel release must be issued under Petropol for them to accept it. Releases from other suppliers cannot be used with this setup. If you arrive without a release, major credit cards such as Amex, Visa or MasterCard are accepted. Because Tahiti is such an important mid-Pacific fuel stop, sending the correct fuel release ahead of time is very helpful.

Permits: Landing permits must be requested directly by the operator through the French Polynesia CAA portal. Private flights should apply at least 72 hours ahead. Commercial and charter flights need at least 14 days. Handlers cannot apply on your behalf, but they can guide you through the process. In the portal you can nominate your preferred handling agent so everyone sees the same set of documents.

If the portal is unavailable for any reason, requests can also be submitted by email. Include:

- Full schedule
- Tail number
- Aircraft type
- MTOW
- Company name and address
- GENDEC
- Name of your handling agent

Send email requests to seac-pf-sna-preflight-ld@aviation-civile.gouv.fr, ideally copying your handler so they can follow and support the request.

FBO contacts:

- **TASC FBO Tahiti:** nuutea@tascfbo.com, info@tascfbo.com
- **Air Tahiti FBO:** ulric.allard@airtahiti.pf, ops.ei@airtahiti.pf

Tahiti is still the key South Pacific stop for long-range BizAv, but it is a “plan it properly or it will not work” kind of place. If you plan around the peak-time restrictions and sort permits and fuel early, NTAA works smoothly. Treat it like a simple H24 diversion and it may catch you out.

If you have recent experience here, please send us an Airport Spy Report. A short postcard-style note is enough and it helps everyone flying the same route.



Got some intel?

Are you an Airport Spy?

You go to unusual places and see curious things. Your turboprop friends envy you. Now, it's time to give back.

For your next trip, pack a notebook, and file your Spy Report below. You'll get a weekly ops briefing in return.

[File your report](#) >

Delhi GPS Interference: New Pilot Reporting Procedure

Chris Shieff

18 December, 2025



India's DGCA has issued **new pilot reporting rules** after a week of **GPS interference in the Delhi area**.

In early November, crews approaching VIDP/Delhi saw navigation anomalies including false EGPWS warnings, incorrect position data and altitude errors – **consistent with GPS spoofing**.

Hundreds of flights were affected. ADS-B integrity in the Delhi TMA briefly dropped to zero, **leaving ATC unable to rely on GPS-based surveillance**.

The timing coincided with the **temporary withdrawal of ILS for runway 10/28**, which increased reliance on RNAV procedures.

The paperwork trail

DGCA first outlined its GNSS-interference reporting process in a 2023 Advisory Circular.

On 10 Nov 2025, they followed up with a new SOP on GNSS Spoofing – which included the **“report within 10 minutes” requirement**.

Crews flagged parts of it as unclear, so on Nov 17, DGCA issued an Addendum to clarify exactly what pilots and operators must do!

What pilots need to do

If interference is detected before top of descent:

1. Tell ATC as soon as possible.
2. Notify your operator's post holder (responsible manager) by any available means.

3. The post holder must then notify DGCA immediately using the form below.

If interference is detected after top of descent, or only discovered after landing:

1. Report it to the post holder as part of normal post-flight duties.
2. The post holder must then notify DGCA using the same form.

DGCA emphasises that the goal is timely reporting, not enforcement!


What to expect

A reminder that GPSwise (powered by the experts at SkAI Data Services) provides a **real time GPS Spoofing and Jamming map** spanning the globe. You can access it [here](#).

Their current data shows a steady interference patch northwest of Delhi. It isn't constant, but it's there often enough that **crews should expect occasional GNSS issues** when routing through that area and be ready to cross-check and revert to conventional procedures.

New RISK WARNING: Somalia ATC Conflict

OPSGROUP Team
18 December, 2025



Update Nov 2025: Somalia-Somaliland Airspace and Permit Dispute

Be aware of an **ongoing authority dispute in the north of the HCSM/Mogadishu FIR**. Both Somalia and the self-declared state of Somaliland have issued conflicting instructions for overflights. From Nov 10, Somaliland says all flights require PPR from its own CAA, while Somalia has reaffirmed through an AIC that it controls the entire FIR and operators should follow its AIP.

Expect mixed messages on permit requirements near northern Somalia and the Hargeisa region. The Somali CAA remains the only internationally recognised authority for all Class A airspace above FL245 – be cautious of conflicting or unauthorised clearances.

For background on this long-running dispute and its impact on ATC safety, see safeairspace.net.

TEL/FAX: 252-1-857-394
AFS: HCMMYOYX
Email: ais@scaa.gov.so
<http://aip.scaa.gov.so/>


SOMALI CIVIL AVIATION AUTHORITY
AIR NAVIGATION SERVICES PROVIDER
AERONAUTICAL INFORMATION MANAGEMENT
ADAN ABDULLE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT
MOGADISHU, SOMALIA
TEL: +252-1-857394
Email: ais@scaa.gov.so

AIC
11/25
(White)
06 NOV 2025

The following circular is hereby promulgated by the Somali Civil Aviation Authority (SCAA) of Federal Government of Somalia, for information, guidance and necessary action.

Ahmed Moallin,
Director General

ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATIONAL CONTROL OF THE MOGADISHU FLIGHT INFORMATION REGION (FIR)

In accordance with national and international law and regulations the Somali Civil Aviation Authority (SCAA) is the legally mandated authority responsible for managing the entirety of the Mogadishu Flight Information Region which includes the whole continental and territorial waters of the Federal Republic of Somalia (FGS) as well as delegated oceanic airspace.

The Somali Civil Aviation Authority's responsibilities include the provision of air navigation services, the issuance of landing and overflight permits for all airspace users, regardless of category, as well as the authorization of the import of aviation related parts and use of flying objects.

All airspace users and aircraft operators, regardless of their nature, shall obtain prior permission from the SCAA in accordance with Somalia AIP Gen 1.2

The risk of unlawful interference of Air traffic Services within the Mogadishu Flight Information Region (FIR) Northern Sector is managed through risk mitigation measures as published in NOTAM. These measures include the avoidance of VHF/HF communications in specific areas and the use of Controller-Pilot Data Link Communications (CPDLC) and SATCOM to strengthen the integrity and security of ground-to-air communication in the northern sector.

Failure to comply with Somali Civil Aviation Regulation (SOMCARs) and international standard set by the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) poses significant aviation safety risk and may result in serious legal consequences and operational restrictions in accordance with national and international aviation law.

For further information and comments please contact these email addresses: scaa@scaa.gov.so / ais@scaa.gov.so / info@scaa.gov.so .


Republic of Somaliland
Official Communiqué on Somaliland Airspace Management

For Immediate Release
Date: November 8, 2025
Issued in Hargeisa,
Republic of Somaliland

The Government of the Republic of Somaliland, under the leadership of His Excellency Abdirahman Mohamed Abdillahi, President of the Republic of Somaliland, issues this communiqué following the High-Level Airspace Management Coordination Meeting held on 8 November 2025 at the Ministry of Civil Aviation and Airports Development (MOCAAD).

In light of recent developments concerning the management of Somaliland's airspace, and in response to the continued politicization and misuse of airspace control by the Federal Government of Somalia, the Government of Somaliland hereby declares the following national positions:

1. Airspace Sovereignty and Safety;
The Republic of Somaliland reaffirms its sovereign right to ensure the safety, security, and orderly management of all aviation activities within its national territory and airspace.

Somaliland is the legitimate and sole authority responsible for the technical operation and administration of its airspace, aerodromes, airport operations, flight information services, and navigational systems in full compliance with ICAO Annexes 2, 6, 10, 11, and 14.

2. Somaliland Immigration and Visa Policy
The Republic of Somaliland exercises full and independent control over its borders, ports, and airports. Visas issued by the Federal Republic of Somalia, are not valid for entry into Somaliland and will not be recognized under any circumstances.

All foreign nationals must obtain a valid Somaliland visa through the official Somaliland Visa and Immigration System, administered by the Ministry of Interior and Internal Security in coordination with the Ministry of Civil Aviation and Airports Development (MOCAAD).

Somaliland visas can be obtained upon arrival at designated entry points, including Hargeisa Egal International Airport (HGA) and Berbera International Airport (BBO), subject to standard immigration screening and clearance procedures.

Any individual attempting to enter Somaliland using a Somalia-issued visa will be denied entry and may face further immigration action in accordance with Somaliland's laws and regulations.

Ongoing since Feb 2024: ATC Conflict in Somalia

Key information for Flight Crew

Over the weekend, OPSGROUP has received at least **10 reports** of aircraft within the Mogadishu FIR being contacted by a **'fake controller'** on the same frequency, issuing **conflicting instructions**.

Crews have been issued climb and descent clearances that are not from the sector controller. Incidents have been reported mostly in the northern part of Mogadishu airspace.

The situation emanates from a political **dispute between Somaliland and Somalia**, two different countries, though the former does not have international recognition. Both countries now claim authority over the Mogadishu FIR.



Quick Summary - ATC Conflict in Somalia

- This affects aircraft transiting the **Mogadishu FIR**
- **Enroute aircraft** are being addressed by **competing ATC units on the same frequency**.
- Numerous aircraft have received climb/descent instructions from **unauthorized ATC units**.
- **Location:** Primarily within radio range of Hargeisa (VHF 132.5), also via HF (11300)

OPSGROUP Members

In your Dashboard you'll find the full Risk Warning, including Crew Reports, Maps, Analysis, and Guidance. If you can't access, just email the team and we'll send you a copy.

**RISK WARNING**
SOMALIA ATC CONFLICT

ISSUED BY OPSGROUP TEAM
EMAIL: TEAM@OPS.GROUP
WHATSAPP: +1 747 200 1993
19 FEB 2024 Version 1

 This information covers a developing event: further versions will likely follow. Check Dashboard / Daily Brief for updates. Please report any additional information you have to team@ops.group. Thank you!

TO: ALL OPSGROUP MEMBERS

ATTN: OPERATING FLIGHT CREW, FLIGHT OPS DEPARTMENTS, SAFETY DEPARTMENTS

Quick Summary – ATC Conflict in Somalia

- This affects aircraft transiting the **Mogadishu FIR**
- **Enroute aircraft** are being addressed by **competing ATC units on the same frequency**.
- Numerous aircraft have received climb/descent instructions from **unauthorized ATC units**.
- **Location:** Primarily within radio range of **Hargeisa** (VHF 132.5), also via HF (11300)




Download the Risk Warning (PDF, 9 pages, 2Mb)

Analysis

(Excerpt from the **Risk Warning** in your dashboard)

The background to the situation is an escalating political dispute between Somaliland and Somalia. Somaliland has been an independent country since 1991, but without international recognition. Somaliland has to date maintained control over its airports, but Somalia controls the upper airspace from Mogadishu.



In January 2024, Ethiopia signed an agreement with Somaliland, essentially exchanging port rights on the Red Sea for recognition of their country. This was met with condemnation by Somalia. Somalia, in response, began restricting movements into Somaliland by way of denying airspace entry to the Mogadishu FIR in some instances. This has led to Somaliland declaring its right to exercise control over their airspace.

The net result is an airspace dispute between the two territories. Both Somalia and Somaliland now claim the right to control traffic. This is why crews have been contacted by other “controllers” on 132.5 (VHF) and 11300 (HF). Although it is likely that these other “controllers” are genuine Air Traffic Controllers, they are operating outside their area of jurisdiction as things stand.

Currently, the authority over the entire Mogadishu FIR is Mogadishu Control. They remain the sole authority to control, coordinate, and provide ATS services in the Upper FIR. The secondary transmissions are coming from Hargeisa in Somaliland. Although the motive for these transmissions can be understood, they present clear danger to enroute traffic. The transmissions appear to attempt to mimic Mogadishu rather than present as “Hargeisa Control”, “Somaliland Control”, or any clear differentiator from Mogadishu.

It would also appear from the reports that we have received, that the control instructions are not being issued to de-conflict traffic, but rather to create confusion. This may be an effort to draw attention to the airspace issue, but could have tragic consequences. For flight crews, we follow with some guidance to mitigate the situation.


The situation is volatile and may escalate. On Sunday, February 18, an AIS Officer from Somaliland, working in Mogadishu, was found dead at his home. His death appears related to this situation.

Avoidance of Mogadishu airspace would provide ultimate safety, and if the situation continues, would be wise.

[Excerpt, see full **Risk Warning** for crew reports received, maps, guidance]

US Shutdown Ends and FAA Lifts Flight Restrictions

David Mumford
18 December, 2025



Update Nov 17:

- **The US shutdown is over and the FAA says it will end the emergency order at 0600 EST on Nov 17, which means the nationwide flight-reduction limits on the US NAS are being cancelled.**
- **That opens the door for airlines to get back to normal schedules. In fact, most of them had already started running full programs over the weekend because they expected the cuts to be cancelled. The system coped, but it will still need a bit of time to fully settle after weeks of strain.**
- **And the big news for BizAv: the Notams that shut out GA at the 12 major airports have now been cancelled, so access is open again.**

Original story from Nov 11:

- **Congress passed a funding bill late on Nov 10 that's expected to end the shutdown once signed into law.**
- **The FAA hasn't lifted any of its traffic limits or BizAv bans yet, so everything below still applies until official guidance changes.**
- **ATC recovery won't be instant. Weeks of six-day schedules, long shifts, and financial strain have left facilities short-staffed. Even after the shutdown ends, it will take time for the FAA to rebuild staffing, lift flight-reduction orders, and restore normal capacity. Expect ongoing delays and flow programs in the meantime.**

ATC staffing shortages caused by the shutdown have already changed how the country's busiest airports are operating. Delays have surged, major metro areas are tightening up, and the FAA has put formal limits in place to keep traffic manageable. With the funding deal now in place, these measures should begin to unwind once staffing stabilises – but for now, they remain fully in force.

These limits arrived in two steps:

Nov 7: An Emergency Order issued on Nov 7 **reduces airline traffic at 40 major airports** and gives the FAA the option to restrict BizAv flights when staffing becomes too thin.

Nov 10: A series of Notams went further, **temporarily banning most domestic BizAv flights at 12 of those same airports**. These Notams effectively strengthened the restriction powers created under the Emergency Order.


Confusing! Yes indeed. Finer details as follows...

The Nov 7 Emergency Order reducing airline flights at 40 airports

You can view this [here](#).

This applies only to Part 121 airlines and to commuter or scheduled Part 135 carriers, (and for simplicity, let's just call these guys *airlines* for the rest of this article).

So, *airlines* must now reduce their scheduled domestic flights at 40 "High Impact Airports" during the daytime hours of 0600-2200 local. The reduction rises from 4% on Nov 7, to 10% by Nov 14.



On-demand Part 135 flights and private Part 91 flights are not part of the mandatory cuts (and again for simplicity, let's just call these guys *BizAv* for the rest of this article!)

The Order also gave the FAA the option to reduce BizAv activity at these airports if staffing levels drop further – which is what then happened with the Notam splurge on Nov 10! (see below for info on that)

The forty airports listed in the Emergency Order are:

- KANC/Anchorage
- KATL/Atlanta
- KBOS/Boston
- KBWI/Baltimore
- KCLT/Charlotte

- KCVG/Cincinnati
- KDAL/Dallas Love
- KDCA/Washington National
- KDEN/Denver
- KDFW/Dallas Fort Worth
- KDTW/Detroit
- KEWR/Newark
- KFLL/Fort Lauderdale
- KHNL/Honolulu
- KHOU/Houston Hobby
- KIAD/Washington Dulles
- KIAH/Houston Intercontinental
- KIND/Indianapolis
- KJFK/New York JFK
- KLAS/Las Vegas
- KLAX/Los Angeles
- KLGA/New York LaGuardia
- KMCO/Orlando
- KMDW/Chicago Midway
- KMEM/Memphis
- KMIA/Miami
- KMSP/Minneapolis St Paul
- KOAK/Oakland
- KONT/Ontario
- KORD/Chicago O'Hare
- KPDX/Portland
- KPHL/Philadelphia
- KPHX/Phoenix
- KSAN/San Diego
- KSDF/Louisville
- KSEA/Seattle Tacoma
- KSFO/San Francisco
- KSLC/Salt Lake City
- KTEB/Teterboro

- KTPA/Tampa

The Nov 10 BizAv restrictions at 12 major hubs

Three days later, the FAA issued a much stronger measure: **Notams at 12 major hubs that temporarily prohibit most BizAv flights** (ie. private Part 91 and on-demand Part 135). You can view the list of Notams [here](#).

These Notams apply only to airports already in the Emergency Order list, which shows they are a targeted escalation rather than a separate policy. Only based aircraft, emergency or public-service flights, or operations authorised by the ATCSCC may use these airports.

The twelve airports with these BizAv restrictions are:

- KORD/Chicago O'Hare
- KDFW/Dallas Fort Worth
- KDEN/Denver
- KBOS/Boston
- KIAH/Houston Intercontinental
- KATL/Atlanta
- KJFK/New York JFK
- KLAX/Los Angeles
- KEWR/Newark
- KPHX/Phoenix
- KDCA/Washington National
- KSEA/Seattle Tacoma

This means the FAA has used the BizAv-reduction authority provided in the Emergency Order and applied the most restrictive version of it at these 12 hubs. Instead of trimming activity, BizAv access has been mostly removed – for now.

The National Air Transportation Association (NATA) has since reported that the FAA told them these restrictions **only apply to domestic non-scheduled flights**. According to NATA, international Part 135 operations may still be approved with prior coordination through the FAA Command Center. This hasn't been formally confirmed, so treat it cautiously until the FAA issues official guidance.

Operational impact for BizAv

40 High Impact Airports: Although most BizAv flights are not part of the mandatory Airline reductions, they are still affected by the resulting compression. Expect more flow programs and occasional reroutes at the 40 High Impact Airports.

12 specific BizAv-restricted airports: Access is effectively unavailable for domestic flights unless you meet an exemption. NATA says international Part 135 operations may still be possible with prior coordination through the FAA Command Center, but this has not been formally confirmed. Surrounding satellite airports will likely absorb the displaced traffic, so expect parking shortages there too.

What happens next?

Now that funding's been approved, the shutdown should finally end – **but things won't bounce back right away**. The FAA still has to rebuild staffing, unwind the flight-reduction orders, and reopen the restricted airports.

It's a familiar story. During the 2018-19 shutdown, a single LaGuardia ground stop sparked nationwide delays and helped force a deal in Washington. This time, the same pattern has played out: rising ATC strain, mounting cancellations, and political pressure finally pushed Congress to act.

Expect a slow return to normal. **Delays, flow programs, and limited capacity will likely continue for weeks as the system stabilises.** We'll keep tracking Notams and any FAA updates to the Emergency Order as the situation evolves.

Sudan Risk Update: Aircraft Shot Down

Chris Shieff

18 December, 2025



Key Points

- **Following a military coup in April 2023, Sudan airspace remains closed to all civilian flights.**
- **An Il-76 was reportedly shot down by a surface-to-air missile near Babanusa on Nov 4.**
- **Multiple conflict-zone warnings exist due to the risk of anti-aircraft fire. The country should be considered dangerous at all levels.**
- **A Contingency Plan provides limited overflight options via HSPN/Port Sudan, Egypt, Saudi Arabia and South Sudan (where ATC remains suspended above FL245).**

Sudan's airspace (the HSSS/Khartoum FIR) has been largely shut since 2023 and the risk profile has only worsened. Fighting around Khartoum continues, and the reported shootdown near Babanusa shows that overflights remain exposed. A US-backed truce has not reduced the threat environment.

Most operators are now avoiding Sudan entirely, routing through the published contingency corridors or staying in neighbouring FIRs. The lack of ATC above FL245 in South Sudan adds another layer of complexity for anyone trying to cross the region.

Here's the updated risk briefing...

Context

Sudan remains in a state of **civil war between two major powers** that used to rule together – the Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) who control Port Sudan, and a paramilitary group called the Rapid Support Forces (RSF) who control most of Khartoum. You can read more about the background here.

The US Government (along with Saudi Arabia, UAE and several other states) has been **actively pursuing a truce** that aims to stop the fighting, open humanitarian corridors and rebuild political stability.

Both SAF and RSF have said yes in principle, but not it seems in practice.

And that means **risk to civil aviation will persist**. There are several sticking points – SAF wants RSF withdrawn from major cities before anything starts. RSF wants overflight guarantees without any kind of interference. Any neither is willing to budge yet.

Aircraft Shot Down

On Nov 4, 2025 an Il-76 transport plane of the Sudanese Armed Forces was reportedly shot down in West Kordofan state, southern Sudan by the RSF.

While the armed forces have indicated a structural failure of the aircraft's wing was to blame, video footage appears to support the RSF's claim that it was **shot down using a short range air defence system of foreign origin**.

If proven true, the incident underpins the presence of **anti-aircraft weaponry** in Sudanese contested airspace, and that even large transport aircraft are not immune to the risks of mistaken identity. Some intelligence suggests that this includes missile systems capable of reaching aircraft as high as FL500.

Conflicting claims about the incident show how unclear the situation is. Based on what we know, **the highest risk is during daylight and in areas close to active fighting**.

Recent Drone Strikes

On Nov 7, 2025 the RSF launched coordinated **drone attacks against at least four cities**: Atbara (River Nile State), El-Obeid, Al-Dailang and Omdurman (west Khartoum). **Anti-aircraft fire was also reported**.

This indicates that flight operations, especially arrivals and departures near the Khartoum region, face an elevated risk of indirect fire and missile activity. Secondary effects such as air-defence responses and unexpected diversions are also possible, particularly at low levels.

Bottom line: treat Khartoum/Omdurman and nearby airports as **high-threat airspace**. Even if an airport is "open" for domestic traffic, risk in the surrounding airspace remains dynamic.


Contingency Routes

Following the military coup in April 2023, **Sudan remains almost entirely closed to all civilian**

flights.

Sudan has declared its entire sovereign airspace a single restricted area called “HSR5”, and published contingency procedures for civil traffic. It contains three main options for overflights:

1. **One north-south overflight route in the far east of the country down over HSPN/Port Sudan airport.**
2. **Some north-south diversionary corridors available via Egypt and Saudi over the Red Sea.**
3. **Some east-west routes over South Sudan.**



#1: North-South overflight route over HSPN/Port Sudan airport

They call this route ‘CR6’ – and it’s the only published track through Sudan’s restricted area HSR5.

It links the Addis and Cairo FIRs via ALRAP-KSL-PSD-P751-ALEBA. Levels are fixed for safety, FL320 northbound and FL330 southbound. There is **no ATC separation**, and prior permission is required.

Think of this as a narrow humanitarian corridor – it’s available but not intended to carry normal traffic.

The contingency plan points you to the Sudan AIP (GEN 1.2) for how to get permission, but the short version is this: **operators must secure diplomatic clearance before the flight, and you can’t ask airborne.** If you are allowed in, make sure you stick to CR6 like glue.

While technically possible, we advise **extreme caution**. What we don't know are the safety margins applied to the contingency route or what may be unfolding beneath it.

#2 Red Sea Diversionary Corridors

These allow north-south traffic to move between the **HECC/Cairo** and **OEJD/Jeddah FIRs** without touching Sudan at all. They are the safest and cleanest option right now because you stay entirely within Egyptian and Saudi Arabian airspace, skirting the Sudanese coastline.

#3 South Sudan (KFOSS Routes)

KFOSS stands for 'Khartoum FIR Over South Sudan' and apply **above FL245**.

These routes allow for a safe(-ish) east-west crossing of South Sudan *without* entering Sudan itself. They're RNAV 5, and mostly bi-directional. You report regularly, keep ADS-B and your transponder on and maintain 15-minute spacing.

One big caveat though – KFOSS routes are **uncontrolled**. Juba provides traffic advisories only.

Airspace Warnings

Several states (including the UK, France, Canada and Germany) maintain active airspace warnings that **advise against entering the HSSS/Khartoum FIR at all levels** due to risk of anti-aircraft fire and military activity.

For some reason, **US operators** technically have no legal restrictions as at the time of writing the FAA has issued **no airspace advisories** (Notams or SFARs) for Sudanese airspace.

Stay Updated

We will continue to report on any changes to the situation in Sudan as it develops. This includes our Safe Airspace website where you can view all active airspace warnings, along with those that exist in adjacent airspace. Our team keeps this updated around-the-clock.

What's Changing on the North Atlantic

David Mumford
18 December, 2025



There's a special ICAO group called the NAT SPG – the North Atlantic Systems Planning Group. They meet once a year to decide what's next for the North Atlantic, and then publish a big summary of what was agreed. **It's one of the few places you can actually see what changes are being planned before they hit the real world.**

Their latest meeting was in Paris in June 2025, and here's what's coming that will actually matter to operators crossing the NAT...

RCL messages are on the way out

Iceland and Gander both intend to discontinue the RCL (Request Clearance) message as soon as possible.

The NAT SPG report mentioned possible timelines from late 2025, but when we contacted both ANSPs they said **no firm dates have been set yet**. Other NAT centres haven't announced plans to follow, so expect mixed procedures for some time.


This is the next big step in the ongoing Oceanic Clearance Removal rollout, aimed at simplifying procedures and cutting down on confusion.

OCR still needs work

The Oceanic Clearance Removal (OCR) rollout in 2024 caused more trouble than expected. **Crews struggled with CPDLC message formats, leading to route errors, incorrect clearances, and heavy ATC workload.**

The NAT SPG wants ICAO to remind States to tighten up crew training and operator procedures for OCR. Iceland and Gander are taking the next step by planning to drop the RCL message altogether, which should help simplify things once everyone is ready!

For the absolute latest on where we are right now with the whole OCR/RCL thing, and what crews need to do, check here ↓




GNSS interference now a serious NAT issue

Reports of GNSS jamming and spoofing keep rising, and some aircraft still can't recover once affected.

The NAT SPG wants more crew training and better tools for ATC to spot and manage affected flights. We've already had a NAT Ops Bulletin from ICAO on this – if you missed it, we covered what to do if spoofed before the NAT.

Key takeaway: if your aircraft experiences any kind of GPS interference, you must tell the first NAT ANSP in your RCL, even if everything seems to have recovered.

For our full article on what to do if spoofed/jammed before entering the NAT, check here ↓



Possible end of HLA approval requirement

Iceland has reviewed the old MNPS/HLA approval system and says it may no longer be needed.

The reason: the navigation performance standards that used to be covered by an HLA approval are now built into other rules (mainly the modern PBN requirements for RNP 10 or RNP 4 operations). **In other words, if an aircraft already meets current NAT HLA standards, the separate "HLA approval" adds little value.**

Iceland plans to complete a safety assessment on removing the HLA approval requirement and present it to the NAT Safety Oversight Group (SOG) in Dec 2025 (that's the NAT team that reviews safety cases before any major change goes live). The UK, US, and Spain have said they'd prefer to keep the approval requirement for now, so this is still very much under discussion rather than a confirmed change.

Safety models might be getting an upgrade

A semi-interesting one. So the NAT's current collision risk figures look worse than reality because they use 1960s-era maths. **New modelling is coming that reflects today's surveillance environment, which should better represent actual safety levels.**

It won't change anything for crews right now, but it sets the stage for the future – once the numbers catch up with reality, we could possibly see tighter spacing or more flexible routing across the ocean.

Commercial space launches are still disrupting routes

Rocket launches are becoming a regular headache, forcing reroutes and last-minute airspace closures.

The NAT SPG is planning a workshop in late 2025 or early 2026 to develop a common approach, since there's still no global standard on coordination or cost recovery.

Document updates inbound...

Hooray! Everyone loves document updates!


NAT Doc 007 (Operations and Airspace Manual) and NAT Doc 003 (HF Management Guidance) will both be updated soon to reflect current procedures and OCR changes – plus probably a bunch of other stuff, who knows...

In previous years this has normally happened every **March**, but sometimes we get a cheeky update in **Jan or Feb** – so stay tuned!

ADS-B now mandatory everywhere in Iceland

Here's one that's not actually in the NAT SPG report, but still worth mentioning! **As of 1 July 2025, Iceland made ADS-B mandatory for all IFR flights in the BIRD/Reykjavik FIR.**

So now the NAT datalink/ADS-B rules look something like this:




The rule applies to every aircraft flying IFR, at any altitude. Exemptions include flights to maintenance, export deliveries, or aircraft that will retire by 31 Oct 2025. If your ADS-B system fails, you can still operate for up to three days while it's being repaired. You can check AIC 1-2025 for more info.

Give me ALL the NAT updates in one place!



Sure thing, friendo. For a nice/concise timeline of NAT changes stretching back to the dawn of time, check [here](#) ↓



And barring any more North Atlantic related changes in the next couple of months, we'll see all you NAT addicts again in 2026!

Farewell, Paper Jepps

Chris Shieff

18 December, 2025



It's the end of an era. After nearly a century of keeping pilots flipping, folding and cursing in cramped cockpits, **Jeppesen is calling it a day on its paper chart service.**

It will be retired by 31 Oct 2026, closing a chapter that began when Elrey Jeppesen first sold his little black book of hand-drawn airfield notes in the 1930s.

For many, it's like losing an old friend. One that was heavy, expensive and always due an update. But it never froze, crashed or ran out of battery.

If you still like the feel of paper in hand, Jeppesen says **a few options will remain...**

Why end a good thing?

Essentially, cost. Paper chart operations aren't cheap – printing, shipping, updates and physical inventory are all expensive. Something that Jeppesen itself refers to as the 'growing costs of managing paper.'

The industry has overwhelmingly transitioned to digital charts thanks to the proliferation of EFBs, tablets and integrated avionics. And all good things must come to an end.

But what is the operational impact of this change? And how will you be affected if still using paper in the flight deck?

Operational Impact

If your operation still relies on paper Jepps, now is the time to **plan ahead**. The exact impact depends on what part of the law you operate under.

Part 91:

With the exception of Part 91K, Part 91 operators can switch from paper to digital charts without FAA authorisation.

But there are a few caveats:

- The PIC must ensure that the electronic charts being used are **current and accurate**.
- You'll also need a **backup (a second device or app)**. Printed charts also count (but obviously, you'll soon need to print them yourself).

In other words, you can switch at your own discretion as long as you cover the basics above.

Parts 91K, 125, and 135:

The 'pathway to paperless' is a little more complicated.

All require OpSpec A061 that authorises EFB use. You'll need to adequately show that there are procedures and training in place for crew, and that there is a backup plan for failures.

There will also need to be procedures in place for **device mounting, power compliance and the update process**.

For Part 91K operators, the lead time is typically 1-3 months. In the case of Part 135, this is longer. Most go through a 'paperless transition' period – operating with both paper and electronic charts until fully approved.

Part 121:

Most (if not all) are likely already approved for EFB use.

If there are any outliers still out there, a **full formal approval is required**. This typically takes 3-6 months.

This involves the airline submitting a detailed EFB program to the FAA's Principal Operations Inspector.

The process is structured and lengthy and includes factors like power/heat analysis, training and other risk assessments. So much so that airlines have entire manuals dedicated to their EFB operations.

Jeppesen itself also provides solid guidance on this process.

I still want paper!

Fear not – it can still be done, just with a little more **elbow grease**.

Jeppesen will continue to sell it's (blank) 7 hole-punch paper via its online store [here](#).

Most popular EFB services (including ForeFlight and FD Pro) support **user printing**.

Timeline of North Atlantic Changes

Mark Zee

18 December, 2025



This page has a timeline of big NAT changes, for the six Oceanic Area Control Centres (OACC's): EGGX/Shanwick, CZQX/Gander, BIRD/Iceland, ENOB/Bodø, LPPO/Santa Maria, and KZWY/New York Oceanic.

2025

- **Sep 2025:** Shanwick's move to **Oceanic Clearance Removal** is now delayed until after summer 2026, following challenges seen during Gander's rollout. More info.
- **July 2025:** ADS-B is now mandatory in the entire BIRD/Reykjavik FIR. More info.
- **June 2025:** The extensively expanded BGGH/Nuuk airport in Greenland is now open, and receiving regular jet traffic. BGSF/Sondrestrom will soon downgrade ATC to AFIS. BGBW/Narsarsuaq will likely close in Spring 2026. More info.
- **May 2025:** Since Canada removed Oceanic Clearances in Dec 2024, things haven't exactly gone smoothly. Crews are confused. Controllers are overloaded. Frequencies are clogged. So from May 5, **Gander will stop sending pre-Oceanic route changes via CPDLC** and switch to VHF voice only. More info.
- **March 2025:** **Reykjavik OCA updated procedures** with NAT Doc 007. Crews must now send their RCL no earlier than 15 minutes prior to the OEP (previously 20). Squawk 2000 ten minutes after the OEP is now standard everywhere except in Reykjavik CTA and Bermuda radar coverage. More info.
- **March 2025:** Updated NAT Doc 007 published. Main changes: **the Blue Spruce Routes were removed**, new chapters on Space Weather Contingencies and GNSS Interference Events. More info.

- **January 2025:** NAT Ops Bulletin #1/2025 published with procedures for flights affected by GPS jamming or spoofing. Crews should advise ATC early in the RCL message to avoid being excluded from the NAT HLA. More info.

2024

- **December 2024: Shanwick postponed its transition to Oceanic Clearance Removal (OCR)**, originally planned for Dec 4, 2024. By this point, Santa Maria and Iceland had already implemented OCR in March 2024, and Bodo and Gander followed in December – leaving Shanwick as the only NAT ANSP still requiring oceanic clearances to westbound flights entering from domestic airspace. More info.
- **March 2024:** Beginning of the process of **Oceanic Clearance Removal (OCR)** for all NAT FIR's. More info.
- **March 2024: Comms Failure** Procedures simplified. More info.
- **March 2024: Squawk 2000** 10 minutes after OEP is now standard in all NAT FIR's, except Reykjavik. More info.

2023

- **Sep 2023:** The US FAA officially **renamed WATRS airspace to WAT**. Existing B050 authorizations will be re-issued within 24 months. More info.
- **Jan 2023:** There were some changes to the boundaries of the **datalink exempt airspace in the northern bit of the North Atlantic**. This used to extend down south to SAVRY, but now only goes as far as EMBOK. So now you need datalink in the NAT oceanic airspace over Greenland controlled by Gander. More info.

2022

- **June 2022:** HF data link (ACARS) does not meet the satcom part of the **NAT DLM requirement** – you need Inmarsat or Iridium for that. So if you want to fly in NAT DLM airspace (FL290-410 in the NAT region) “J2” in field 10a of your FPL won't work anymore – you need “J5” for Inmarsat or “J7” for Iridium. More info.
- **March 2022: All NAT Tracks at FL330 and below were abolished.** It means operators will have the flexibility to file random routes at FL330 and below when flying between Europe and North America. Particularly for operators unable to file routes across NAT Tracks with active flight levels, this means much greater flexibility in choosing their own trajectory. More info.

2021

- **July 2021:** The “MAX UPLINK DELAY VALUE TO 300 SECONDS” message will now be sent to all aircraft – and each time you logon to a new OACC. More info.

2020

- **Jan 2020:** Update on the **Datalink Mandate**. Effective Jan 20, 2020, datalink (CPDLC and

ADS-C) is now required between FL290-410 in the NAT region. There are exempted areas: North of 80N, Surveillance airspace over a section of Greenland and Iceland (where ATC can see you on radar or ADS-B), and New York Oceanic East. Aircraft without datalink can request to climb/descend through datalink mandated airspace, but will only be considered on a tactical basis – most likely you'll get stuck under FL290. More info.

2019

- **Micro-SLOP.** ATC don't seem to like the term, but that's basically what it is. Before, you could only SLOP centreline, 1NM or 2NM to the right. But since 2019, all NAT OACCs started allowing offsets right of centreline in tenths of a nautical mile up to a maximum of 2NM. More info.
- **ASEPS.** Reduced longitudinal separation (down to as close as 14NM) has been happening since April 2019 in Gander, Shanwick, and Santa Maria. But from Oct 2019, lateral separation will be reduced to 19NM from the previous PBCS limit of 25NM for compliant aircraft. To be able to get this reduced separation, you'll need ADS-B and to be fully PBCS compliant (i.e. meet the specs of RNP4, RCP240 and RSP180). Read the ICAO Bulletin for more info.
- **OWAFS** Operations Without a Fixed Speed. In other words, you get to decide how fast you fly. It's been happening in the Shanwick, Santa Maria, and New York Oceanic FIRs since Apr 2019. Iceland say they will start doing this some time around Oct-Nov 2019. You get a normal oceanic clearance, with a fixed Mach Number, like you always did. But then somewhere after the Oceanic Entry Point, you may get a CPDLC message saying RESUME NORMAL SPEED. You should reply with WILCO. What that means is: Fly ECON, or a Cost Index with Variable Mach. You can fly within 0.01 up or down of your cleared Mach, but if it varies by 0.02 or more you must advise ATC. Read the ICAO Bulletin and check out our article for more info.
- **PBCS** From March 29th 2019, there may be more than just three daily PBCS tracks. They will continue to be only FL350 to FL390 inclusive and only on the designated tracks during the period the tracks are in effect. There may be days where there are no PBCS tracks, 3 PBCS tracks, 5 PBCS tracks, potentially even all the tracks.
- **Contingency Procedures** From March 29th 2019, new contingency and weather deviation procedures were introduced. For contingencies, you now turn at least 30 degrees and offset by 5 NM. For weather deviations, you now do your 300ft up/down offset when 5 NM away from track. More info.

2018

- **PBCS** From March 29th 2018, PBCS is a requirement for the daily mandated PBCS NAT Tracks (right now, that the 3 core tracks each day) between FL350-390. PBCS for the NAT means having both RCP240 (4 minute comms loop) and RSP180 (3 minute position reporting). If you're missing approval for either, then you can fly anywhere other than along the core NAT tracks FL350-390. Read more about PBCS in our article, and check out the NAT Circle of Change for an easier graphical representation.
- **RLAT** From January 4th 2018, Shanwick and Gander increase the number of RLAT tracks – most tracks between FL350-390 will now be RLAT – 25nm separation between them. *RLAT replaced by the term PBCS.*

2017

- **SLOP** – Offsetting is now mandatory. Choose 0, 1, or 2nm right of track. We think 1 or 2 is best. Consider the recent A380 story.
- **TCAS 7.1:** From January 1st, 2017, TCAS 7.1 is required throughout the entire NAT region.
- **Cruising Level:** Effective 2017, you no longer need to file an ICAO standard cruising level in NAT airspace.
- **Gross Nav Error:** This is now defined as greater than 10nm. Everywhere else in the world, it's 25nm.
- **Datalink Mandate:** Since Dec 2017, datalink now required throughout the NAT Region from FL350-390. Exempt areas: Tango Routes, airspace north of 80N, Surveillance airspace, Blue Spruce routes, and New York OCA.

2016

- **Confirm Assigned Route** Introduced August 2016, you will see this message when you enter NAT airspace with datalink, and you should reply with the planned route in NAT airspace. Designed to catch errors.
- **NAT HLA** The airspace formerly known as MNPS. Changed February 2016. NAT HLA = NAT High Level Airspace. Now includes Bodo Oceanic, and aircraft must be RNP 4 or RNP10. Previous MNPS approvals good through 2020.

2015

- **RLAT** Started December 2015, spacing on the NAT Tracks reduced to “Half Track” (30nm) for 3 core tracks. RLAT=Reduced Lateral Separation Minima. *Next phase of this (ie. all NAT Tracks 350-390) was introduced in Dec 2017.*
- **SLOP** Offsetting right of track by 1nm or 2nm became Mandatory.

Beware Below: New Warning on QNH Errors

Chris Shieff
18 December, 2025



Two years have passed since we published our original piece on QNH errors, and the issue hasn't gone away. In fact, there have been more serious incidents linked to incorrect altimeter settings below transition. Here's what's happened since then.

The Paris Near Miss

The final report is out on a serious incident at LFPG/Paris Charles de Gaulle in May 2022. An A320 was flying an RNP approach (LNAV/VNAV minima) in IMC when **ATC passed the wrong QNH** – 1011 instead of 1001, a 10 hPa difference.

That mistake meant the aircraft **flew the approach about 280 feet lower than it should have**. A ground proximity alert went off in the tower, but the controller got no reply from the crew.

At minima, with no runway in sight, the crew went around. The aircraft's radio altimeter later showed a minimum height of just **six feet** – one mile short of the threshold.

The crew never realised. The wrong QNH made their instruments show they were higher than they actually were, so everything looked normal. The heights matched the chart, and EGPWS didn't trigger.

They tried again, still with the wrong QNH set. This time they broke out and landed safely, again passing within a few feet of the surface before the threshold.

You can read the full report and safety recommendations [here](#).

Updated EASA Guidance

On October 22, EASA reissued its **Safety Information Bulletin (SIB)** on incorrect barometric altimeter settings. You can download it [here](#). It warns that QNH errors can not only lead to CFIT but also reduce separation from other aircraft, increasing the risk of midair collision.

This applies to all phases of an instrument approach, including the missed approach.

The SIB points out that QNH errors can creep in at several points – from how meteorologists determine it, to how ATC passes it, to what the crew actually sets.



The SIB contains some valuable recommendations for operators:

- Develop SOPs to make sure pilots cross-check QNH from at least two independent sources (for example, ATIS and ATC). Don't rely on handwriting or word-of-mouth!!
- Assess these procedures, and hunt for ways in which errors may still occur. Then continue to refine them.
- Use FDM or FOQA data to flag and investigate any altimeter mis-sets and learn from them.

Our Original Article

If you fly any baro-based approach (that's most of them except ILS, GLS, or RNP to LPV) you need to know how a simple QNH mistake can put you below profile without you realising it.

Back in 2023, ICAO put out a warning about this. Here's the quick version:

Key Points

- **QNH errors have led to several serious approach incidents.**
- **Affected approaches: VOR, NDB, LOC, RNP, and RNP AR.**
- **Main causes: bad data, misheard ATC calls, and cockpit workload.**
- **Fix: raise minima, stick to SOPs, cross-check QNH from two sources, and speak up if it sounds wrong.**

A Wolf in Sheep's Clothing



An innocuous **QNH error** can easily place your aircraft hundreds of feet **below profile** in the final approach segment of a non-precision approach. And there may be **very few signs** – save for our eyeballs, our radio altimeter, or ultimately our EGPWS.

And perhaps the approaches most vulnerable to this threat are those which use **BARO-VNAV** – in other words, the use of our aircraft's barometric altitude information to compute the aircraft's vertical guidance.

The problem is that to fly these approaches safely, **our altimeters must be accurate**. That entirely depends on pilots setting the **correct QNH**. It is a simple task riddled with potential for insidious errors – something that no pilot (or controller) is immune to.

Which is why ICAO recently published a new Ops Bulletin on this very problem. **They can't fix it, but they can help mitigate it.** Here's a run-down on what they had to say.

Risky Business

If you're reading this, chances are you have a reasonable idea about how an altimeter works. In the most basic sense, we calibrate these pressure-sensitive devices to provide an altitude above whatever datum we need them for – in most cases, **sea level**.

This essentially creates potential for two errors:

1. **Temperature:** although this is less of an issue, because we can anticipate and correct for it.
2. **A mis-set:** or in other words, *rubbish in rubbish out*. The altimeter doesn't know if it's telling you lies. In the same sense that a conventional clock doesn't know that it's wrong – it just runs from whatever time you set it to. The consequences of this type of error are far worse.


Final Approach

ICAO's Bulletin focuses on the **final approach** (inside the FAF) simply because this is where altimeter errors become most critical.

In this segment, ICAO-compliant procedures only guarantee a smidge **less than 300 feet of obstacle clearance** (ICAO Doc 8168 Vol II if you're feeling bold). Interestingly, this almost perfectly correlates to an altimeter error of 10hPa...

Are you sure that 1023 QNH you just heard on that scratchy ATIS wasn't actually 1013?

...it's easy to see how critical errors can become. Like the example below:



Which approaches are affected?

It can be easy to get lost in the **sea of acronyms** out there. So let's keep it simple:

Not vulnerable: ILS, GLS, and RNP to LPV minima. In other words, approaches that **do not rely** on barometric altitude to fly the correct profile. One gotcha tho - **DA** is still based on your altimeter. You may therefore go around early or late with an incorrect QNH but the profile itself will still be correct.

Vulnerable: Everything else - including VOR, NDB, LOC, RNP, and RNP (AR).

Why are QNH errors happening?

ICAO has some ideas:

Bogus Data: This may be incorrect information supplied by a met service provider, corrupt hardware on the ground or even by assuming area QNH will be close enough to airport QNH.

Chinese Whispers: Don't underestimate the power of what you *think* you heard. This can happen anytime we are relying on voice to communicate safety critical information. It's not just pilots either - ATC may not pick up that your read-back was incorrect. If you fly internationally, the language barrier can also be a challenge. Even domestically we form habits of talking at speed on the radio. If there is any doubt, use the phrase "Say Again Slowly."

Workload: Have you ever been in this boat? You're passing through transition, changing to an approach frequency, slowing to 250kts, securing the cabin and trying to run an approach checklist....all at the same time. Depending on where the transition level is (for example, FL110 in Australia) it can clash with your other flight deck duties. Crew confusion, miscommunication and even finger trouble can come into play here.

What can we do about it?

Consider other approaches: if there's an ILS or similar available and conditions are poor, consider using it instead.

Think about minimas: ICAO suggest raising your minima particularly if you are unfamiliar with an approach type.

Stick to the SOPs: and cross check. Treat QNH like that stove you think you left on every time you leave for a multi-day trip. Become paranoid and *find that error*. Cross-check the QNH across multiple sources – at least two independent ones for each and every approach.

Don't forget to ask yourself - is it sensible? A good way to cross check this is by comparing the ATIS QNH to the TAF or METAR QNH. If there is any doubt, confirm it with ATC.

Be especially suspicious of anything hand-written: If you've obtained a QNH by voice, make sure you have both independently heard it.

Don't forget other sensibility checks: Terrain permitting, your radio altimeter may give you an early clue that all is not right – especially if you're over flat terrain or water.

ICAO also suggests that ATCOs and ANSPs have a role to play too: It's little beyond the scope of this article, but you can find that info in the very same bulletin.

Have a story to tell?

Please share it with us in confidence. You can reach us on team@ops.group.

Spoofed Before the NAT? Here's What to Do

Chris Shieff

18 December, 2025



An OPSGROUP member on a recent westbound NAT flight from the Middle East received the following message via CPDLC:



The crew contacted Shanwick via HF, who requested their **RNP capability** and operational status.

The controller explained that due to their point of departure (OMAA/Abu Dhabi) they wanted to be certain the aircraft had not been **contaminated by GPS jamming or spoofing** before it entered oceanic airspace.

It's been a while since we wrote about this procedure, and since then we've had this NAT Ops Bulletin published by ICAO telling operators what to do on the NAT if they've experienced jamming/spoofing, so we reached out to NATS directly for an update. **Here's what they had to say...**

Defensive Measures

NATS reported they continue to receive a large number of flights every day that have been impacted by GPS interference prior to oceanic boundaries.

The issue is that once an aircraft's navigation system has been 'contaminated' by bad GPS data, it may not be possible to recover full RNP capability in flight, even if the normal GPS signal is restored.

These aircraft may no longer meet RNP 4/10 accuracy required in the NAT HLA, even **long after the trigger event occurred.**

The NAT Ops Bulletin which was published back in Jan 2025 requires crew of NAT-bound aircraft that have encountered GPS interference to notify their first NAT ANSP via RCL. Even if your aircraft shows no lingering effects, **ATC still want to know.**

NATS advise that late notification by pilots of a RNP degradation (such as approaching an oceanic entry point) greatly **increases controller workload.** They often need to move other aircraft out of the way to provide increased separation (in some cases from 14nm to 10 minutes), it's a big deal.

As a result, they are employing **defensive controlling measures**. Based on previously spoofed/jammed flights and regions of known risks, they may proactively contact flights assessed as higher risk to confirm status before entry – although the exact selection criteria isn't public. Increased separation will be applied until normal navigation performance is confirmed by the pilots.

In a nutshell, this is why the OPSGROUP member received the message above.

A special thank you to NATS for their help in answering this question.

Jammed or spoofed? You need to let your NAT ANSP know

The NAT Ops Bulletin we keep mentioning – this provides the guidance for NAT traffic on how to manage GNSS interference. Here it is again, so you can't miss it! ↓



Key takeaway from this: If you suspect or know that your aircraft has encountered any kind of GPS interference (both jamming or spoofing), NAT-bound traffic must let their first NAT ANSP know in the RCL - even if the aircraft appears to have recovered.

This is prefixed by 'ATC REMARKS/GNSS INTERFERENCE' and must include details of any system degradations.

A few messages to keep handy are:

'ATC REMARKS/GNSS INTERFERENCE NO IMPACT.'

'ATC REMARKS/GNSS INTERFERENCE NO CPDLC/ADS'

'ATC REMARKS/GNSS INTERFERENCE RNP 10 ONLY'

'ATC REMARKS/GNSS INTERFERENCE NON-RNP10'

By including your status in the RCL, you are **giving ATC a head's up before you arrive.**

In most cases, you will still be allowed in the NAT HLA. A loss of RNP 4 isn't a deal breaker, as you can still enter under RNP 10. But your clearance may be less optimal (likely level changes) due to the increased separation from other traffic.

The big one to look for is a loss of RNP 10. You will not be cleared into the NAT HLA, and instead will need to remain below FL290 or above FL410. With an obvious fuel impact, this may lead to an unplanned diversion.

The Bulletin includes a handy flow chart that's worth printing and keeping in your flight bag.

Latest ICAO Feedback

The latest three-yearly ICAO Assembly was held in Montreal from Sep 23 - Oct 3.

During the event, ICAO issued its strongest condemnation yet of both **Russia and North Korea**, directly blaming them for **deliberate GNSS interference** in violation of the Chicago Convention. Russia, in particular, has been blamed by ICAO for **destabilising navigation across European airspace**.

We continue to receive regular reports from OPSGROUP members of both jamming and spoofing. Interference is now a regular occurrence in the **Baltic region, particularly around Kaliningrad, Eastern Finland, the Baltic Sea, and nearby airspace**. Other reports have been received from **Germany, Poland and Norway**.

Recent airspace incursions, airstrikes and drone activity associated with the **ongoing conflict in Ukraine** have almost certainly escalated the use of GPS interference as a defensive measure. Civil aviation will continue to operationally grapple with this hazard. **With no obvious solution in site, our best defence remains procedures like the one detailed above.**

APEC 2025: South Korea Ops Impact


Andy Spencer

18 December, 2025



South Korea is gearing up to host the APEC Leaders Summit in Gyeongju from **Oct 31 to 1 Nov 1**. Both Donald Trump and Xi Jinping are expected to attend, along with leaders from 21 member countries. That means tight security and plenty of disruption at airports across the country from around **Oct 25 to Nov 3**.

If you're operating a BizAv flight to Korea during that week, what you can do depends on **whether you're flying with APEC pax or without them**. So that means delegates, government officials, or anyone else going to the event. *To not make the rest of this article too wordy, we're going to call these "APEC-related" flights!*



If you're APEC-related

Your life will be easier, but still tightly controlled.

- **RKSI/Incheon:** The main international gateway and the primary arrival point for heads of state. Only APEC-related flights will be allowed to park or operate here until Nov 3. Expect strict ramp control and ground handling reserved for official delegation movements.
- **RKTN/Daegu** and **RKTH/Pohang:** Both near Gyeongju and being used as APEC support airports. Only APEC-related flights will be allowed in here during this period, but only for quick turns. Parking is limited to about an hour and a half, with no overnights. RKTH/Pohang is a domestic airport but will open to international flights between Oct 25 – Nov 1.

If you're not APEC-related

For regular BizAv flights, options are limited.

- **RKSS/Gimpo:** This is your best shot. It's open for everyone – regular BizAv, diplomatic, APEC-related and non-APEC related, though ramp space is scarce. Parking is capped at five days,

and slot requests should be made early. Expect congestion.

- **RKPK/Busan:** A confusing one! It's only available to *diplomatic flights* from Oct 27 – Nov 2. So that's only the highest tier of APEC-related flights, we're guessing. PPR is also required, as RKPK is a military airport.
- **RKPU/Ulsan:** Domestic only, not available for APEC flights, and parking suspended.

As of now, there are **no SUPs, AICs, or Notams** published setting out these restrictions. Expect last-minute Notams later this week once security plans are finalised.

If you're carrying APEC pax, expect strict time limits at RKTN/Daegu or RKTH/Pohang. If you're flying a regular BizAv flight into South Korea, plan on using RKSS/Gimpo and book now! RKSJ/Incheon and the nearby regional airports will be off-limits for you.

A high-security, high-traffic week is coming – plan accordingly and keep checking for updates! And if you need help with handling at any of these airports during this period, we recommend getting in touch with Nexus Jet Support at support@nexusjet.net.

EU-LISA: The BizAv Guide

David Mumford
18 December, 2025



The EU has officially started switching on its new border-control system, and the rollout is already affecting how flights are handled across Europe. Here's the quick version as of 13 Oct 2025:

- **EES is live (sort of).** Launched on 12 Oct 2025, with a staggered rollout across Europe – some airports are already using it, while others are still coming online. Expect mixed performance and occasional long queues as systems and staffing catch up.

- **Full switch by April 2026.** During the six-month transition, passports will still be stamped alongside digital checks, but once the rollout is complete across all 29 EES countries, manual stamping will end entirely.
- **All travellers included!** Everyone crossing an external Schengen border (no matter if they arrive by airline, private jet, yacht, or car) must comply with EES and, once active, ETIAS. The only difference is who checks it: commercial operators must register with EU-LISA and verify pax electronically before boarding, while border guards handle checks for private arrivals.
- **Private operators opting in.** Even though private operators are exempt from EES and ETIAS requirements, many are registering with the system anyway so they can confirm pax docs and authorisations in advance – helping avoid surprises for their pax on arrival.

So here's a more detailed look at what's changing, when, and what actually matters for BizAv operators.

EES (live from 12 October 2025)

Think of EES as the EU's new digital passport stamp. Operators flying to one of the 29 EES countries need to use the eu-LISA carrier interface to electronically verify whether passengers holding short-stay visas (single or double entry) have already used the number of entries authorised by that visa.

The 29 European countries doing EES:

 Austria	 Estonia	 Iceland	 Malta	 Slovakia
 Belgium	 Finland	 Italy	 Netherlands	 Slovenia
 Bulgaria	 France	 Latvia	 Norway	 Spain
 Croatia	 Germany	 Liechtenstein	 Poland	 Sweden
 Czech Republic	 Greece	 Lithuania	 Portugal	 Switzerland
 Denmark	 Hungary	 Luxembourg	 Romania	

This check must be done no earlier than 48 hours before the scheduled time of departure.

Remember, this only applies to passengers with **short-stay visas for one or two entries**. For everyone else (including visa-exempt passengers) border authorities will handle checks during the six-month transition period while EES is phased in across Europe (through 10 April 2026).

So for visa-exempt travellers (like US passengers), operators don't need to do anything yet under EES – your obligations for them begin later, once ETIAS is live.

Any operators **carrying passengers for payment** (Part 135 / charter / commercial bizjet operators) must be registered with EU-LISA to access the carrier interface. Operators were expected to complete registration and testing before the October 2025 go-live. Latecomers can still apply, but should expect delays before being fully approved to use the system.

If you're **flying privately and non-commercially**, you're off the hook – you're not considered a "carrier" and don't need to register or query anyone (but read more on this below!)

ETIAS (coming in late 2026)

If EES is about recording entries and exits, ETIAS is about screening pax before they arrive. It's the EU's version of the US ESTA – a quick online authorisation for visa-exempt travellers.

Operators flying to one of the 30 ETIAS countries (the 29 EES states plus Cyprus) will need to **verify**

before boarding that all visa-exempt pax hold a valid ETIAS travel authorisation.

As with EES, the verification query can be made anytime from 48 hours before departure (that “no earlier than 48 hours” rule).

When ETIAS launches (expected in the last quarter of 2026), there’ll be a six-month transition followed by a six-month grace period, so enforcement will ramp up gradually rather than overnight.

The ETIAS fee is €20 (up from €7) and is waived for travellers under 18 or over 70.

ETIAS obligations mostly apply to travellers, but **operators must verify that passengers who need an ETIAS actually hold one before boarding.**

Again, this rule **only applies to commercial operators.** Private, non-commercial flights are not considered “carriers” and don’t have to perform these checks.

For more info on all the basic stuff of EES and ETIAS, check out the homepage [here](#).

Are private flights definitely exempt?

Yes. We asked EU-LISA this question many times, and in many different ways. They have consistently told us that **private flights don’t have to do any of this.** Here’s a summary:

OPSGROUP: Is EES/ETIAS only required for commercial flights, and not required for private flights?

EULISA: You are correct. A private flight with non-fee paying passengers on board does not fall under the scope of EES/ETIAS. Regarding checks on private flights you can refer to Regulation (EU) 2016/399, section 2.3. Checks on persons on private flights.

OPSGROUP: Just to double-check something on this... Passengers on private flights don’t need to do EES/ETIAS, even when being flown by pilots who are being paid to fly the plane?

EULISA: You are correct. Passengers on private flights do not need to undergo the EES or ETIAS process, even when being flown by pilots who are being paid to operate the aircraft. Natural or legal persons that use private owned aircrafts and do not transport passengers as their profession are not considered carriers and do not need to query the carrier interface.

OPSGROUP: Can we ask for one further item of clarification. A lot of US companies operate private aircraft to the EU. These aircraft are owned and operated by the company. Under US rules, they are private flights. But can you confirm that they fall under your definition of private flights?

EULISA: Please note that the definition of private flights takes into consideration the fact that private flights do not transport passengers commercially but operate for private reasons.

However!!!

Several OPSGROUP members who operate private flights have told us that they have **registered for the EES system anyway.** The benefit of doing this is that you will be able to confirm prior to the flight that your pax have all the proper documentation they need – potentially avoiding any nasty surprises on arrival.

With the **EES system**, passports will no longer be stamped, so in the case of a limited visa that only allows a certain number of visits, operators will have no way of confirming that all the allowed visits have not been exhausted unless they check the system.

Also, similar story when ETIAS starts. Though there will be a website to confirm a passenger has an

approved ETIAS, EU-LISA says there are a lot of reasons for an ETIAS to be revoked, so checking the system prior to the flight will help make sure the ETIAS is still valid.

More info for operators

For more info, you can check the EU-LISA homepage for operators [here](#).

For answers to pretty much all the questions we can think of, including how to actually use the system as an operator, check this FAQ document provided by EU-LISA.

Pilot Age Limits - The Full Picture

Kateřina Michalská
18 December, 2025



Here's something we've been meaning to do for a long time. It seems there's no single place online where the rules on pilot age limits are spelled out in plain English. So here you go, friends. If you've got suggestions, corrections, or edge cases we've missed, drop us a note at blog@ops.group.

The basics:

- **For international commercial flights:** all pilots must be under 65.
- **For domestic commercial flights:** most countries follow the same 65-year rule, but some go further – Argentina, Australia, New Zealand, Canada and Japan all allow older pilots under certain medical and operational conditions, while others, like India, apply stricter limits.
- **For private flights:** there's no age limit anywhere. The only restriction is the pilot's medical.

Who makes the rules?

The starting point is ICAO. Annex 1 – Personnel Licensing sets the global standard for pilot age in international commercial air transport operations. The rule is simple:

- **65 years old** in multi-pilot operations
- **60 years old** in single-pilot operations

These limits apply only to **commercial flights** – airlines and charter. They do not apply to private flying, where ICAO sets no age restriction at all.

2.1.10 Limitation of privileges of pilots who have attained their 60th birthday and curtailment of privileges of pilots who have attained their 65th birthday

A Contracting State, having issued pilot licences, shall not permit the holders thereof to act as pilot of an aircraft engaged in international commercial air transport operations if the licence holders have attained their 60th birthday or, in the case of operations with more than one pilot, their 65th birthday.

Note.— See 1.2.5.2.3 on the validity period of Medical Assessments for pilots over the age of 60 who are engaged in commercial air transport operations.

In Europe, EASA mirrors ICAO exactly:

FCL.065 Curtailment of privileges of licence holders aged 60 years or more in commercial air transport

Regulation (EU) 2020/359

- (a) Age 60-64. Aeroplanes and helicopters. The holder of a pilot licence who has attained the age of 60 years shall not act as a pilot of an aircraft engaged in commercial air transport except as a member of a multi-pilot crew.
- (b) Age 65. Holders of a pilot licence who has attained the age of 65 years shall not act as a pilot of an aircraft that is engaged in commercial air transport.

In the US, the FAA applies the 65 limit **only to Part 121** airline pilots:

- (d) No certificate holder may use the services of any person as a pilot on an airplane engaged in operations under this part if that person has reached his or her 65th birthday.

Part 135 charter pilots face no FAA domestic age cap, but once those flights go international, the ICAO 65 rule applies to all pilots on board.

Part 91 private operations are not affected domestically or internationally – there is no ICAO age limit for non-commercial flights, only the medical.

In theory, all ICAO member States should apply the same rules. In practice, some do not. Inside their own borders, countries can be stricter, looser, or set no limit at all. For international flights, the countries that matter are: the State that issued the licence, the State of the operator, and the States being flown into or over. If any of those apply a stricter rule, that's the one that decides whether the flight can operate.

Once a pilot reaches their 65th birthday, they are no longer eligible to serve on international commercial flights, unless every country on the route specifically authorises it. Under **Articles 39 and 40** of the Convention, ICAO Doc 7300, a licence that does not meet ICAO standards such as age limits must be

endorsed, and it can only be used internationally if the States concerned specifically accept it.

Medical requirements also tighten with age. According to ICAO Annex 1, pilots over 60 on commercial ops must renew their **Class 1 medical** every six months instead of once a year.

1.2.5.2.3 When the holders of airline transport pilot licences — aeroplane, helicopter and powered-lift, commercial pilot licences — aeroplane, airship, helicopter and powered-lift, and multi-crew pilot licences — aeroplane, who are engaged in commercial air transport operations, have passed their 60th birthday, the period of validity specified in 1.2.5.2 shall be reduced to six months.

There used to be an additional condition: if the captain was between 60 and 64, the other pilot had to be under 60. ICAO removed that rule in 2014. **Today, two pilots over 60 may operate together without issue.**

Private flights

ICAO does not impose any age limits on private, non-commercial operations. A pilot can continue flying internationally at any age – provided they hold a **valid medical certificate**.

The type of medical required depends on the operation. A **Class 1** (ICAO Annex 1) is needed for commercial flying, valid for 12 months until age 60 and then 6 months thereafter.

For private flying, a **Class 2** (Europe) or **Class 3** (US) medical is sufficient. Standards are lower, checks are less frequent, and validity periods are longer.

In Europe: Class 2 is valid for up to 60 months if you're under 40, 24 months between 40-49, and 12 months once past 50:

MED.A.045 Validity, revalidation and renewal of medical certificates

Regulation (EU) 2019/27

(a) Validity

- (1) Class 1 medical certificates shall be valid for a period of 12 months.
- (2) By derogation from point (1), the period of validity of class 1 medical certificates shall be 6 months for licence holders who:
 - (i) are engaged in single-pilot commercial air transport operations carrying passengers and have reached the age of 40;
 - (ii) have reached the age of 60.
- (3) Class 2 medical certificates shall be valid for a period of:
 - (i) 60 months, until the licence holder reaches the age of 40. A medical certificate issued prior to the licence holder reaching the age of 40 shall cease to be valid after the licence holder reaches the age of 42;
 - (ii) 24 months, for licence holders aged between 40 and 50. A medical certificate issued prior to the licence holder reaching the age of 50 shall cease to be valid after the licence holder reaches the age of 51;
 - (iii) 12 months, for licence holders aged above 50.

In the US: Class 3 is valid for 60 months if you're under 40, and 24 months once past 40:

If you hold	And on the date of examination for your most recent medical certificate you were	And you are conducting an operation requiring	Then your medical certificate expires, for that operation, at the end of the last day of the
(3) A third-class medical certificate	(i) Under age 40	a recreational pilot certificate, a private pilot certificate, a flight instructor certificate (when acting as pilot in command or a required pilot flight crewmember in operations other than glider or balloon), a student pilot certificate, or a sport pilot certificate (when not using a U.S. driver's license as medical qualification)	60th month after the month of the date of examination shown on the medical certificate.
	(ii) Age 40 or older	a recreational pilot certificate, a private pilot certificate, a flight instructor certificate (when acting as pilot in command or a required pilot flight crewmember in operations other than glider or balloon), a student pilot certificate, or a sport pilot certificate (when not using a U.S. driver's license as medical qualification)	24th month after the month of the date of examination shown on the medical certificate.

For commercial ops, shorter medical validity periods apply – the details can be found in the same ICAO Annex 1, EASA Part-MED and FAA §61.23 references.

Different rules at home

Countries can set their own age limits for domestic operations. Many follow ICAO's 65-year rule, but others do it differently. Here are a few examples, and if you've seen something else in your ops, let us know!

Argentina: Argentina dropped its old pilot age limits in 2024. Airline/charter pilots can now fly domestic ops until 66 (single-pilot) or 68 (multi-pilot). For international flights, crews must still follow the destination country's age rules. Private flights already had no age limits here. [More info here.](#)

Australia: ICAO's 60/65 limits don't apply. There's no maximum age, but pilots over 60 must pass extra medical and flight reviews. [More info here.](#)

New Zealand: Pilot licences are issued for life, with no age cap. Validity depends only on maintaining medical and competency standards. [More info here.](#)

Canada: No upper age limit and no loss of privileges after 60 or 65, provided medical and proficiency standards are met. [More info here.](#)

Mexico: Couple of issues here: first, Mexico still uses the old ICAO wording for commercial flights; and second, some local officials misapply those same rules to private operations.

1. **It looks like Mexico still uses the older ICAO wording on pilot age limits** – the one that talks about the pilot-in-command (PIC) rather than *all pilots*. Under that version, a PIC can fly until age 60, or up to 65 only if the other pilot is under 60. The newer ICAO rule applies to all pilots and simply allows both to fly up to 65, but Mexico’s wording (Circular CO SA 14.03/20) hasn’t been updated. It still follows the old PIC-focused rule and applies only to international commercial operations, not to private or domestic flying.
2. **For private flights, there’s no official age limit** – any pilot can fly as long as their medical is valid. In practice, though, enforcement can be inconsistent. Some AFAC officials, especially at MMSL/Cabo San Lucas and other tourist airports, have been known to misapply the 65-year rule even to private flights, sometimes hinting at “fees” to ignore it. If that happens, show them the Circular, which clearly limits the rule to commercial ops, and coordinate with your handler in advance if you don’t speak Spanish.

Peru: The country allows commercial pilots to fly up to age 70, based on medical findings that age alone shouldn’t determine fitness to fly. Pilots over 65 just need more frequent medical checks to keep their certification valid. More info here.

Chile: Going even further, Chile sets no maximum age limit for domestic flying. As long as pilots hold a valid medical certificate, they can keep flying indefinitely within Chilean airspace. More info here.

Japan: Commercial pilots can fly in multi-pilot operations until the day before turning 68, with extra medical and operational requirements:

2.1.10

Japan permits pilot licence holders to act as pilot of an aircraft engaged in commercial air transport operations under certain conditions until the day before the licence holders have attained their 68th birthday in the case of operations with more than one pilot.

China: Officially follows ICAO’s 60/65 standard, but some reports we’ve seen suggest some airlines may still apply a 60-year internal cap. Seen this yourself? Tell us!

India: For international multi-pilot flights, only one pilot may be between 60 and 65 – a holdover from ICAO’s pre-2014 “one under 60” rule. More info here.

All these national differences stop at the border. Once a flight is international, the ICAO limit of 65 applies unless a State has specifically authorised older pilots, as permitted under Articles 39 and 40 of the Convention.

Bottom line, if in doubt, always check the **AIP GEN 1.7**, where each country publishes its differences from ICAO!

Grey areas and edge cases

There are some places where the rules blur.

Ferry and positioning flights: These may not count as “commercial air transport” under ICAO definitions, but many authorities still apply the same limits if the aircraft is operated under an AOC, and the FAA includes ferry and positioning legs under the Part 121 age-65 rule.

- (e) No pilot may serve as a pilot in operations under this part if that person has reached his or her 65th birthday.

Practical limits beyond regulation: Even where no regulatory age limits exist for private ops, pilots over 65 can still face practical restrictions. Some insurance underwriters set their own maximum age limits or raise premiums for older pilots, regardless of medical fitness. In addition, operators, management companies, and recruiting agencies sometimes apply informal age caps when hiring for private or corporate operations, which is a form of ageism that pilots have little means to challenge. A few countries, such as New Zealand, have human rights laws that prohibit age discrimination in employment, although these protections generally apply only to work performed within their own borders.

Wet leases and aircraft registry: When an aircraft is operated under a wet lease or similar cross-border arrangement, the stricter rule between the State of Registry and the State of the Operator may apply. Under the Article 83 *bis* of the Convention, these States can transfer oversight responsibilities – including crew licensing – from one to the other, meaning a tighter national age limit can override ICAO standards.

The old “no domestic age limit” lists: You’ll still find online lists of countries said to have no age limits, mostly copied from ICAO surveys in the mid-2000s. Treat these with caution! Always check each State’s AIP GEN 1.7 for the latest national differences.

Policy change in motion: IATA recently pushed to raise the international pilot age limit from 65 to 67, suggesting extra safeguards like keeping one pilot under 65 and tighter medical checks for older crews. The idea made it all the way to ICAO’s 42nd Assembly in Montreal (Sep-Oct 2025), but after some debate, it was turned down. For now, the global limit stays where it is: 65.

Corporate retirement policies: Some companies have tried setting their own age-65 limit for Part 91 pilots, but courts have often struck that down as age discrimination (except in one 2014 Exxon case). Instead of using an age cutoff, some operators take a more cautious approach by requiring their pilots to hold a First Class Medical renewed every six months – even though that’s stricter than the FAA actually requires for private or corporate flying.

How to Get Your Info to 8,000 Other Pilots


Kateřina Michalská
18 December, 2025



We've said it before, but it's worth repeating: **OPSGROUP runs on you.**

Almost every **Ops Alert**, every **Daily Brief**, every **Weekly Bulletin** starts with someone in the group sharing a snippet. A strange new procedure. A dodgy handler. A sneaky airport fee. Or something bigger such as a new airspace restriction, a strike, or a sudden airport closure. However small it feels, if you'd tell a colleague about it in the crew room, then it's worth telling the group too.

Over time we've built a few ways to make sharing easier. Some of them you might know, some you might have forgotten. So here's the updated, all-in-one guide to reporting stuff!



How to share stuff and what to send

There are a few easy ways to get things to us.

You can drop us an email at report@ops.group if you've spotted something useful that others need to know.

You can also send a quick WhatsApp message to +1 747 200 1993 – pictures welcome.

If you've got a longer tale, something that needs more than a line or two, email it to news@ops.group and we'll turn it into an Ops Story for everyone to read. These are the war stories, the strange sagas, the "this happened to us and it might happen to you" kind of things.



And then there's our favourite little invention: Report-A-Thing. Or RAT, for short. ☐

Think of it as a direct hotline to the hive mind. Built back in 2024 on a trusty Commodore-64 interface (well, almost), it lets you send in quick reports without fuss. The best part is that **you can choose to do it completely anonymously**. No names, no back and forth. Just your info, dropped straight into the machine. We read everything that comes in, check what needs checking, and then make sure the rest of the group hears about it.



So whether you ping us on WhatsApp from the ramp, send a quick note or a longer story by email, or fire off an anonymous RAT report, the result is the same: **what you've seen gets shared with 8,000 members worldwide.** That's how we turn one person's weird experience into everyone's "good to know."

HOW TO REPORT



EVERYTHING COMES FROM OUR MEMBERS. HERE ARE THREE WAYS FOR YOU TO SHARE DANGERS, RISKS, CHANGES AND ANNOYANCES WITH THE REST OF THE GROUP. DO IT.

What's App



SHARE WHAT YOU SEE - INCLUDE A PIC!
SAY HELLO AT +1 747 200 1993

Email



EMAIL IS THE EASIEST WAY TO REPORT
SOMETHING - REPORT@OPS.GROUP

Report-A-Thing



TRY OUT OUR NEW COMMODORE 64 INTERFACE FOR
MAXIMUM 1980'S STYLE SECURITY AND
ANONYMITY. [OPS.GROUP/RAT](https://ops.group/rat)

Airport Spy

Not everything fits into an email or a quick RAT note. Sometimes what helps most is simply knowing what another crew found when they flew in before you. That's where Airport Spy comes in.

Think of it as TripAdvisor for pilots and ops teams. **You land somewhere, you notice something good, bad, or just plain bizarre, and you file a Spy Report.** Two minutes of your time, but invaluable for the next crew.

For pilots and operators, a good Spy Report is the kind of detail you'd share with a colleague in the crew bus. Was ATC easy to follow or impossible to understand? Was the handling slick or painfully slow? Any odd security checks or airport quirks that could catch someone out?

Pilots and Operators can file a report here!



Got some intel?

Are you an Airport Spy?

You go to unusual places and see curious things. Your turboprop friends envy you. Now, it's time to give back.

For your next trip, pack a notebook, and file your Spy Report below. You'll get a weekly ops briefing in return.

[File your report](#) 

It's not only for pilots. FBOs and handlers can file too. Before a crew shows up at your airport, they want to know what's new, whether hours have changed, if there are new procedures, or if there's some local peculiarity that doesn't show up in the AIP.

FBOs and Handlers can file a report here!



Got some intel?

Can you guys handle a BBJ tomorrow morning?

Before we go, we'd like to know what's happening. You open? Ops normal? Any unusual rules or restrictions pilots should know about?

Our group of 8000 people – pilots, dispatchers, aircraft operators – is looking for the latest intel from your airport. Help us out with a report, and let us know if you're **open for business**.

All reports go into the group dashboard, where 8,000 members can see them. The next time someone is heading to that airport, they'll have your notes in hand and they'll thank you for it.

Airport Spy is getting busy lately, and that's thanks to all of you who have been filing reports!



Airport Spy

Member reviews of Airports, Handlers, and ATC.

Search by airport

Go

Browse reviews

LATEST

THE WORST

MY ONES

NFTF - Tongatapu, Tonga
Off the Beaten Path in Tonga



Reviewed September 29, 2025

Aircraft: GLF5 | Flight type: Private | ID: 9008386



The flying portion was all as expected. Flight: Good english on the radio, and standard procedures. Ground handling: This is through ATS Tonga, with Paul Karalus (pkaralus@atstonga.to) in charge. Responsive to email, setting things up with Paul was reasonable, but this is not an airport that get ...

Read review

In the end it's simple: one small report might save another crew hours of hassle, or even something worse. Nobody knows everything, but together we know a lot.

So don't overthink it. Just send it. We'll do the rest.

Uzbekistan: new ICAO codes, new transition levels

David Mumford
18 December, 2025




Some big changes came into effect in Uzbekistan on October 2. **The country has officially dropped its old “UT” ICAO prefix in favor of “UZ”.**

So the Tashkent FIR is now UZTR (was UTTR), and the Samarkand FIR is now UZSD (was UTSD). The same applies to all airports: Tashkent becomes UZTT, Samarkand is now UZSS, Bukhara is UZSB, and so on.

According to UzAeroNavigation, the national ANSP, this is part of a wider modernization effort – **giving Uzbekistan’s airspace a clearer, more distinct identity** and moving away from the legacy Soviet-era “UT” codes.

At the same time, Uzbekistan has introduced a **unified transition altitude of 13,000 ft and transition level of FL150** (previously 6,000 ft / FL080), bringing it more in line with its Central Asian neighbors and hopefully making level changes at FIR boundaries a bit smoother.

The higher setting means crews will **stay on local pressure a bit longer when climbing out from airports like UZTT/Tashkent and UZSS/Samarkand**, which sit close to mountainous terrain – helping with altitude awareness until they’re well clear.



Uzbekistan handles a steady stream of **east-west overflights linking Europe with China, Hong Kong, South Korea, and Japan**. These routes have become even more important since 2022, as many operators continue to avoid Russian airspace, routing instead through Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, and Turkmenistan on their way to and from Asia.



One important heads-up: the new “UZ” ICAO addresses have been published, but they’re **not active for**

flight plan filing yet.

ENR 1.11-2
02 OCT 25

ADDRESSING OF FLIGHT PLAN MESSAGES

AIP
UZBEKISTAN

ACC PЦ	ADDRESS АДРЕС
1	2
TASHKENT FIR	UZTRZQZX
SAMARKAND FIR	UZSDZQZX

3.4 Aerodromes` ATS units (APP/TWR)

3.4 Органы ОВД аэродромов (APP/TWR)

APP/TWR APP/TWR	ADDRESS Адрес
1	2
TASHKENT TWR/APP	UZTTZTZX
ANDIJAN TWR	UZFAZTZX
FERGANA TWR	UZFFZTZX
NAMANGAN TWR/APP	UZFNZTZX
SAMARKAND TWR/APP	UZSSZTZX
TERMEZ TWR	UZSTZTZX
KARSHI TWR	UZSKZTZX
BUKHARA TWR/APP	UZSBZTZX
URGENCH TWR/APP	UZNUZTZX
NUKUS TWR/APP	UZNNZTZX
NAVOI TWR	UZSAZTZX

For now, keep **using the old “UT” AFTN addresses for everything** — flight plans, messages, permits, and so on. For example, file to UTTRZQZX for the Tashkent FIR (not UZTRZQZX).

According to UZTR Notam D0922/25, the switch to the new addresses won't take effect until 29 Oct 2025, so stick with the old ones until then (or until further notice).

D0971/25 NOTAMN

Q) UZXX/QAFXX/IV/NBO/E/000/999

A) UZTR UZSD B) 2510020008 C) 2510292359

E) ALL OPERATIONAL REQUESTS AND CORRESPONDENCE(INCLUDING FLIGHT PLAN, CHG,CNL,DEP/ARR,DLA,RQP/RQS,SLOT/CTOP,OVERFLIGHT PERMITS,NOTAM REQUESTS,AIS/OPS QUERIES)SHALL BE ADDRESSED TO AFTN ADDRESSES WITH PREFIX 'UT'(E.G.UTTTZDZX-ATFMU,UTTTYOYX-AIS/NOTAM).

EFFECTIVE 02 OCT 2025 00:01 UTC UNTIL 29 OCT 2025 23:59 UTC OR UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE.

REF: AIRAC AMDT 05/25,EFFECTIVE DATE 02 OCT 2025.

Datalink in Europe: What Are The Rules?

David Mumford
18 December, 2025



Update - 29 Sep 2025

Eurocontrol has confirmed that from 4 Nov 2025, the IFPS (Integrated Initial Flight Plan Processing System) will **automatically reject any flight plans filed above FL285 unless CPDLC is filed correctly.**

IFPS is the central system that processes and validates all flight plans in European airspace. If your plan is filed incorrectly, it will be rejected, and **you won't be able to depart until the error is fixed.**

To avoid rejection:

- **If equipped:**
 - Field 10a: J1
 - Field 18: CODE/XXX (Mode S hex code)
- **If exempt from the mandate or CPDLC is unserviceable:**
 - Field 10a: Z
 - Field 18: DAT/CPDLCX

Important: Do not file both J1 and DAT/CPDLCX together, and do not leave both out. Either scenario will result in automatic rejection by the IFPS system.

Also important: You don't need to file either J1 nor CPDLCX if your requested level is below FL285.

Also also important: Eurocontrol has also advised separately that if CPDLC is unserviceable, you may continue to operate above FL285 for up to 10 days under MEL relief, provided the flight plan is filed correctly using DAT/CPDLCX. After this period, you must either fix the issue or operate below FL285.

Also also also important: On 4 Nov 2025, IFPS will be unavailable between 2100-0000 UTC for a system upgrade. The outage is expected to last about one hour, but up to two hours if a rollback is needed. During this time, no flight plans can be filed or validated, so submit plans in advance.

For the full Eurocontrol notes on this latest update, check [here](#).

Original Story - Key Points

- **There is a mandate for datalink EQUIPAGE for flights above FL285 throughout Europe. There are various different exemptions for this.**
- **This mandate only applies to aircraft with ATN datalink. If your aircraft only has FANS 1/A, you don't need to comply - but you also won't be able to get CPDLC across most of Europe.**
- **There are also some places where datalink LOGON is mandatory.**

Datalink in Europe can be bamboozling – multiple chunks of airspace, all in close proximity to each other, all with varying levels of operating capability when it comes to CPDLC. Plus there's a Logon List to consider. And a Datalink Mandate. And different considerations depending on what kind of datalink you've got onboard...

So here's a simple guide on how it all works, and what the rules are.

Explain it to me in three sentences

- You need ATN datalink for flights above FL285 in Europe (i.e. you need to have equipped aircraft and trained crews).
- If you don't have ATN datalink, but are exempt from the Mandate (as per one of the categories below), then you can still fly above FL285.
- If you don't have ATN datalink, but are not exempt from the Mandate, you can't fly above FL285.

Is there a Datalink Mandate in Europe?

Yes. The European Datalink Mandate is for **ATN datalink equipage for flights above FL285** throughout Europe. (*Equipage – not necessarily for logon! More on that later...*)

Is my aircraft exempt?

Quite possibly – many aircraft are exempt from the equipage mandate:

1. Aircraft with a certificate of airworthiness first issued before 1 January 1995.
2. Aircraft with a certificate of airworthiness first issued before 1 Jan 2018 and fitted prior to this date with FANS 1/A.
3. Aircraft with 19 seats or less and a MTOW of 45359 kg (100000 lbs) or less, with a first individual certificate of airworthiness issued before 5 Feb 2020.
4. Aircraft flying for testing, delivery or for maintenance purposes or with datalink temporarily inoperative (under MEL exemption).
5. Aircraft in this list (Annex I).
6. Aircraft in this list (Annex II) with a CofA issued before 5 Feb 2020.

You can find these rules and exemptions in this EU doc (updated in Sep 2023).

The Logon List

This is what you need to get registered on to get CPDLC service when flying in:

- **Switzerland**
- **Germany**
- **Maastricht UAC** (i.e. the upper airspace above FL245 over Belgium, the Netherlands and Luxembourg – one of Europe’s busiest and most complex airspace areas.)
- **Poland**
- **France** (6 March 2025 for LFEE, LFMM, LFRR, LFBB / November 2025 for LFFF)



If you get your aircraft added to the Logon List, that means you’ll be able to use CPDLC in these areas and will probably get better directs and faster climbs. However, if your avionics are **not eligible to be on the Logon List**, ATC will not currently restrict you to the flight levels below FL285.


The Logon List is basically to ensure that aircraft with buggy avionics don’t ruin the network for everyone else – including ATC.

For more info, including details of **how to get your aircraft registered on the Logon List**, check Eurocontrol’s dedicated page [here](#).

Important to note: **the Logon List only applies to aircraft with ATN datalink – not FANS 1/A**. So essentially, if your aircraft only has FANS 1/A, you don’t need to register – but you also won’t be able to get CPDLC across most of Europe (*more on that below...*)

Where can I get CPDLC in Europe?

As of June 2024, these places:



For more info about which FIRs provide datalink, and at what flight levels, check [here](#).

Is CPDLC logon mandatory?

The European Datalink Mandate is for CPDLC [equipage](#), not for logon.

But yes, provided you've got ATN CPDLC, there are some places where logon is mandatory ↓

Here's a running list of the places we know where logon is mandatory, in chronological order of when they implemented the rule:

- **Maastricht UAC** [EDYY] above FL245 (source: Eurocontrol) and **Karlsruhe UAC** [EDUU] above FL285 (source: Germany AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Cyprus** [LCCC Nicosia] above FL285 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Hungary** [LHCC Budapest] above FL285 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Finland** [EFIN Helsinki] above FL095 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)

- **Denmark** [EKDK Copenhagen] above FL285 (source: AIC 5/23)
- **Sweden** [ESMM Malmo, ESOS Stockholm] above FL285 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Romania** [LRBB Bucharest] above FL285 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Serbia and Montenegro** [LYBA Belgrade] above FL205 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Czech Republic** [LKAA Prague] above FL195 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **France** [LFFF Paris, LFEE Reims, LFMM Marseille, LFBB Bordeaux, LFRR Brest] above FL195 (source: AIC 10/23 and AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Switzerland** [LSAG Geneva, LSAZ Zurich] above FL145 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Slovakia** [LZBB Bratislava] above FL285 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Croatia** [LDZO Zagreb] above FL285 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Bulgaria** [LBSR Sofia] above FL215 (source AIRAC AMDT 5/24)
- **Slovenia** [LJLA Ljubljana] above FL285 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Poland** [EPWW Warsaw] above FL285 (source: AIP GEN 3.4)
- **Spain & Canaries** – coming at some point soon!

Recent News: Some Logon and FPL Filing stuff to watch out for! ↓

From Nov 2025: Flight plans in Europe above FL285 without J1 or DAT/CPDLCX will be rejected. This was advised by Eurocontrol in their Feb 27 webinar on datalink guidance for aircraft operators (you can watch the replay [here](#)).

From Oct 2024: MUAC have started reporting to the relevant NSAs those aircraft which don't comply with the requirement to file either J1 or DAT/CPDLCX in the FPL if filed above FL285. We heard this issue is especially true for bizjets – around half of which are capable but don't log on.

From July 2024: Eurocontrol started checking correct flight plan filing regarding CPDLC. Flight plans indicating J1 capability, but missing CODE/XXX in Field 18 will be rejected.

From Feb 2024: After some issues with the new LYBA logon code for Serbia and Montenegro which you can read about [here](#)) Eurocontrol started asking operators to make sure their aircraft avionics ATN addressing database is up to date, to include all the right codes as per the latest version of ICAO EUR Doc 028.

So what do I put in my FPL?

Got ATN datalink? Put **J1** in field 10a of the flight plan. Also put **CODE/XXX** in Field 18 – instead of the XXX you need to put your Aircraft/Mode S address in hex (e.g. CODE/A519D9).

Exempt from the Mandate? Put **Z** in field 10a and **DAT/CPDLCX** in field 18 of the flight plan. If you don't, ATC won't know you're exempt, and you may struggle to fly above FL285! (And remember – you should either file J1 or DAT/CPDLCX, not the two together. Flight plans with this wrong filing will be rejected).

Only got FANS 1/A? Read the section below! ↓

My aircraft only has FANS 1/A. What do I do?

Assuming you qualify for the first exemption to the Datalink Mandate we mentioned at the top of this post (aircraft with a certificate of airworthiness first issued before 1 Jan 2018 and fitted prior to this date with FANS 1/A), you don't need to comply with the Datalink Mandate, but you also won't be able to get CPDLC across most of Europe – ATC will talk to you on the radio instead.

The only bits of airspace in Europe where you can still get CPDLC using FANS 1/A are:

- **EGTT/London, EGPX/Scottish, EISN/Shannon FIRs.** *But be aware that in EGTT there is no automatic logon transfer from FANS1/A to ATN – ie. if you're flying from EGTT to EDYY and you are connected via FANS1/A to EGTT then you will have to log on again with EDYY.*
- **GCCC/Canarias FIR.**
- **LRBB/Bucaresti FIR.**

Everywhere else in Europe is only capable of working with ATN datalink. Note that in **Maastricht Upper Airspace (MUAC)** they say that dual-stack aircraft must be reconfigured to logon via ATN, and aircraft with only FANS 1/A will continue to be supported by conventional VHF.

So if you've only got FANS 1/A, here's what you put on your FPL:

In field 10a:

Put **Z** and one of the following –

J5 – If using SATCOM (Inmarsat) for CPDLC

J7 – If using SATCOM (Iridium) for CPDLC

In field 18:

DAT/CPDLCX

Download the Europe Datalink Quick Reference PDF

One page PDF of pretty much everything you need to know. Just click [here](#).

Download the Eurocontrol CPDLC guidance docs

Eurocontrol's Operational Focus Group has published some new Datalink guidance docs for pilots, effective March 2025. These include tips on when and how to log on, uplink message handling, and other good CPDLC practices. There are separate docs with specific guidance depending on whether you're using Jeppesen, Lido, or Navblue EFBs. Download the PDFs below.



ENHANCE EFFICIENCY WITH CPDLC – YOUR ROLE MATTERS!

Recommended Practices for CPDLC in Europe

ATM in Europe faces capacity limits, resulting in departure & en-route delays.

Datalink is a key short-term capacity enabler in Europe.

Reliable CPDLC usage significantly improves ATC capacity.

Your participation and commitment is key!


When to Log-On

- As soon as possible, considering your company's SOPs
- According to ICAO:
 - Prior to departure¹
 - At least 10 min prior to entering CPDLC airspace



When Is a Manual Log-On required?

- Upon entering the first CPDLC airspace (Airspace X, see image on the right)
- No automatic log-on handover occurs when passing through non-CPDLC Airspace (X → Y → Z)
- Therefore, a manual log-on is required upon entering Airspace Z
- Automatic log-on handover resumes from Z to W
- Note: An automatic log-on transfer may fail (e.g., you are on Rhein Radar frequency [EDUU], but CPDLC still shows Maastricht [EDYY])
 - In this case, you need to manually log-off from the incorrect CPDLC address before logging on to the correct one



Uplink Message Handling

- Ensure closed loop understanding of CPDLC clearances.
- Execution of CPDLC clearances shall be done in accordance with your company's SOPs
 - e.g. waiting for the "Rcvd by ATC" / "Accepted" message may be required
- If ATC confirms a CPDLC clearance by voice, it may be due to a ground system alert generated by a missing CPDLC response message. This may be due to technical errors.
- ATC is monitoring the execution of your CPDLC clearance
- In general, any CPDLC clearance is valid until revoked or expired
- Airbus only: If the message is over 2 minutes old, confirm with ATC via voice before acting. Newer aircraft (FANS-C) allow pilot responses even after ground timeout (120 seconds)

Disclaimer: This document is for informational purposes only and does not replace official SOPs, OM-C and regulatory requirements. In case of discrepancies, the applicable SOPs, OM-C and regulations take precedence. Pilots are responsible for ensuring compliance with all relevant procedures.

Jeppesen – download PDF.

Lido – download PDF.

Navblue – download PDF.

Any more questions?

This EASA Q&A site is a good place to try.

Failing that, send us an email at news@ops.group, and we'll do our best to get it answered for you!

Shanwick Delays OCR Until Post-Summer 2026

David Mumford
18 December, 2025



Big update on Shanwick's plans: they've now confirmed that **the move to the new Oceanic Clearance Removal (OCR) system won't happen until sometime after summer 2026**. That's a fairly significant shift, as earlier expectations were that it might roll out by the end of summer 2025.

Why the delay?

Over in Gander, when OCR went live last December, **things got messy**. Controller workload spiked as crews struggled with the new procedures — there were lots of extra radio calls, some confusion over routing, and even a few close calls that controllers had to step in and prevent. More on that here.

Shanwick has pointed to a **mix of factors behind the delay** — including their own operational complexities and the issues Gander has been dealing with since their rollout. Taking more time now gives them a chance to refine the process and avoid similar issues when they do eventually make the switch.

So, let's have a **nice clear set of steps to follow** — depending on whether you're headed east or west over the NAT...

Going eastbound via Gander

1. Send your RCL 60-90 mins before the OEP via ACARS (it's for ATC planning only, no clearance will be issued!)
2. *May 5 - Dec 31, 2025: Note that any route changes before oceanic entry will be given by VHF voice when in Gander airspace. Moncton and Montreal will continue to issue CPDLC UM79 route amendments.*
3. Don't request an Oceanic Clearance - there isn't one here anymore.
4. Maintain your domestic cleared level unless ATC assigns a different one.
5. Once in Oceanic airspace, expect further changes via CPDLC or HF.

If Gander isn't issuing Oceanic Clearances anymore, why send an RCL? This may very well be the crux of the mass pilot confusion experienced so far. The answer: the RCL is now just a planning tool — you're not asking for permission, only notifying them, because they still need your exact routing and timing to safely manage traffic. You continue to fly your last assigned domestic route and level unless ATC

gives you a change. The confusion comes from the wording: no Oceanic Clearance is issued, but notification is still required.

Going westbound via Shanwick

1. Send your RCL or make a voice clearance request 90-30 mins before the OEP.
2. You'll receive your Oceanic Clearance by ACARS or voice.
3. Fly the Oceanic Clearance.

Also note that if entering Shanwick from another Oceanic area, no clearance is needed from Shanwick.

We *think* we got all that right. If not, let us know please! news@ops.group.

And if you're still confused about OCR, check this post.

NAT Forecast: No more RCLs?

There's also an interesting twist that could change how flights work across the NAT in the longer term. We're hearing talk that some North Atlantic ANSPs are looking at **removing the RCL process completely** at some point in the future.

That would be a huge change, **bringing oceanic ops much closer to domestic ones**. No more sending RCL messages ahead of the Oceanic Entry Point, no more extra steps — you'd just fly your filed plan unless ATC issues a change.

But this is still very much in the idea stage. It would need to go through ICAO groups and international working groups to figure out all the technical and procedural details, and there are plenty of hurdles to clear before it could actually happen.

For now, it's just something to keep an eye on, as Shanwick and other ANSPs continue to refine how oceanic traffic is managed.